### Supplementary Material

# Ultrasmall radical metal organic cage as cascade antioxidant nanozyme for renal injury

Cheng Huang<sup>1†</sup>, Ziyu Liu<sup>2†</sup>, Yucen Deng<sup>1,3†</sup>, Xiaoyan Wang<sup>4†</sup>, Qing Miao<sup>1</sup>, Demei Sun<sup>1</sup>, Xinyuan Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Jinghui Yang<sup>5\*</sup>, and Youfu Wang<sup>1\*</sup>

#### **General information**

All the reagents and solvents were commercially available and used as received.

**NMR:** The synthesized compounds were structurally confirmed by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra in a Bruker Avance 500 (500 MHz) instrument using an internal deuterium lock for the residual protons in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at ambient probe temperature.

**Mass spectra:** The precise molecular weights of the synthesized compounds were recorded through mass spectra. Quadrupole Time of Flight LC/MS mass spectra were performed on Bruker impact II (Bruker, Germany). Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectra were performed on a Bruker Speed MALDI-TOF 7090 (Bruker, Germany).

**SEC:** The molecular weights and polydispersity indexes (PDI) of ligands and MOCs were accessed by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) on a Malvern GPC using polymethylmethacrylate as standard. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was used as the eluent at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min at 35 °C.

**FT-IR:** Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Tensor 27 FT-IR using ATR measurements for solids as neat samples.

**DLS and Zeta Potential:** The Particle Size and Zeta Potential Analyzer in a ZS90 instrument is mainly used to test particle size and size distribution and particle charges. **AFM:** The morphologies and sizes of MOC-R were also estimated by atomic force microscopy (AFM) performed on MFP-3D (Oxford).

**EPR:** The Bruker A300 Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) instrument was used to detect the radical properties.

#### Synthetic details of L-R and MOC-R

The radical ligand, L-R, was synthesized according to Scheme S1.



Scheme S1. The synthetic route of radical ligand, L-R.

**9EG-OTS:** 2,5,8,11,14,17,20,23,26-Nonaoxaoctacosan-28-ol (9EG) (2.50 g, 5.83 mmol, 1 eq) was placed in NaOH aqueous solution (5 mol/L, 17 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. Then *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride (2.78 g, 14.58 mmol, 2.5 eq) was dissolved in 17 mL of THF and added dropwise to the above mixture. When the addition is completed, the reaction temperature returns to room temperature After reacting for 24 h at room temperature, the reaction solution was concentrated and then extracted three times using DCM (100 mL) and saturated saline water. The organic phase was collected, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporator. Then colorless oil **9EG-OTS** was obtained (95% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-*d*, ppm)  $\delta$  7.78 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 4.14 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 3.70 – 3.51 (m, 34H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 2.43 (s, 3H). MS(ESI): Calculated for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>46</sub>O<sub>12</sub>S, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 605.2602, found 605.2594.

**IPA-9EG:** Dimethyl 4,6-dihydroxyisophthalate (1.00 g, 4.42 mmol, 2 eq) was completely dissolved in 20 mL of DMF and then  $K_2CO_3$  (1.53 g, 11.05 mmol, 5 eq) was added. The solution was heated to 100 °C for 10 min under N<sub>2</sub>. **9EG-OTS** (1.29 g, 2.21 mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved in 50 mL of DMF under N<sub>2</sub> and slowly dripped into the above solution. After the addition, the reaction was stirred for 24 h. At the end of the reaction,

the reaction solution was concentrated and then extracted three times using DCM (100 mL) and saturated saline water to collect the organic phase. The organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, then filtrated and concentrated by rotary evaporator. Then, the oily **IPA-9EG** was obtained through purification by column chromatography on silica gel using DCM:MeOH (100:1, v/v) (48% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-*d*, ppm)  $\delta$  8.41 (s, 1H), 6.48 (s, 1H), 4.20 (t, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 2H), 3.93 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.77 (dd, *J* = 5.8, 3.7 Hz, 2H), 3.67 – 3.62 (m, 32H), 3.37 (s, 3H). MS(ESI): Calculated for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>15</sub>, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 659.2885, found 659.2888.

**TEMPO-Br:** NaH (0.42 g, 17.43 mmol, 3 eq) was placed in 4 mL of DMF under N<sub>2</sub> and stirred for 10 min. 2,2,6,6-Tetramethyl-4-hydroxy-1-piperidinyloxy radical (TEMPOL, 1.00 g, 5.81 mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved in 10 mL of DMF, added to above solution and stirred at room temperature for 3 h. 1,6-dibromohexane (4.25 g, 17.43 mmol, 3 eq) in 4 mL of DMF was dropped into the reaction solution at 0 °C. After the addition, the reaction solution was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. Then water was added to quench the excess NaH. The organic phase was then collected by extracting three times using DCM (60 mL) and water. The organic phase was dried over MgSO4, filtrated, and concentrated by rotary evaporator. Finally, the oily **TEMPO-Br** was obtained through purification by column chromatography on silica gel using PE as eluent (52% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-*d*, ppm)  $\delta$  3.59 – 3.52 (m, 1H), 3.45 – 3.38 (m, 4H), 1.97 – 1.89 (m, 2H), 1.89 – 1.82 (m, 2H), 1.59 – 1.55 (m, 2H), 1.49 – 1.43 (m, 4H), 1.40 – 1.33 (m, 2H), 1.22 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 6H), 1.17 (s, 6H). MS(ESI): Calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>29</sub>BrNO<sub>2</sub>\*, [M] 334.1375, found 334.1376.

**IPA-9EG-TEMPO: IPA-9EG** (0.38 g, 0.6 mmol, 1 eq), **TEMPO-Br** (0.37 g, 1.1 mmol, 1.8 eq) and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.29 g, 2.1 mmol, 3.5 eq) were placed in DMF (20 mL) under N<sub>2</sub>, and stirred at 135 °C for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, the solution was concentrated, filtrated and concentrated by rotary evaporator. Finally, the oily **IPA-9EG-TEMPO** was obtained through purification by column chromatography on silica gel using DCM:MeOH (80:1, v/v) as eluent (60% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-*d*, ppm)  $\delta$  8.48 (s, 1H), 6.52 (s, 1H), 4.27 (t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 4.09 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.87 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 6H), 3.82 – 3.79 (m, 2H), 3.72 – 3.63 (m, 32H), 3.57 (dd, *J* = 5.9, 3.4 Hz, 3H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 1.93 – 1.87 (m, 2H), 1.60 (dt, *J* = 28.0, 7.4 Hz, 4H), 1.50 – 1.44 (m, 2H), 1.40 (s, 6H), 1.31 (s, 6H), 1.28 (s, 2H). MS(ESI): Calculated for C<sub>44H76</sub>NO<sub>17</sub>\*, [M+Na]\* 913.5005, found 913.5006

L-R: IPA-9EG-TEMPO (0.50 mg, 0.56 mmol, 1 eq) was added to LiOH aqueous solution (5 mol/L, 20 mL) and stirred at 70 °C for 3 h. After cooling down to room temperature, a diluted HCl solution (2 mol/L) was added to adjust the pH to 1. The solution was extracted with DCM for three times. The combined organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, concentrated to obtain L-R (91% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-*d*, ppm)  $\delta$  8.53 (s, 1H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 4.39 – 4.30 (m, 2H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 3.93 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 2H), 3.89 – 3.52 (m, 32H), 3.47 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 3.38 (s, 3H), 1.85 (q, *J* = 7.8, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.61 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 4H), 1.44 (d, *J* = 17.3 Hz, 12H), 1.27 (s, 2H). MS(ESI): Calculated for C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>72</sub>NO<sub>17</sub>, [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 885.4692, found 885.4699.

**MOC-R: L-R** (0.10 g, 0.12 mmol, 1 eq) and Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (0.024 g, 0.12 mmol, 1 eq) were dissolved in separated THF (5 mL). The two solutions were mixed together and stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The solution was concentrated and added dropwise into diethyl ether to precipitate the cage. The precipitation was centrifuged, washed, and dried to obtain **MOC-R** (86% yield).

L: IPA-9EG (0.50 mg, 0.79 mmol, 1 eq) was added to LiOH aqueous solution (5 mol/L,

25 mL) and stirred at 70 °C for 3 h. After cooling down to room temperature, the pH of the solution was adjusted to 1 using a dilute HCl solution (2 mol/L). The solution was extracted with DCM for three times. The combined organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, concentrated to obtain oil ligand, **L** (91% yield). MS(ESI): Calculated for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>44</sub>O<sub>15</sub>, [M-H]<sup>-</sup> 607.2607, found 607.2634.

**MOC:** L (0.10 g, 0.16 mmol, 1 eq) and Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (0.032 g, 0.16 mmol, 1 eq) were dissolved in separated THF (8 mL). The two solutions were mixed and stirred at room temperature for 3 h. After concentration, the solution was added dropwise into diethyl ether to precipitate the cage. The precipitation was centrifuged, washed, and dried to obtain **MOC** (83% yield).

## **Supplementary figures**



Figure S1. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of **9EG-OTS** in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S2. The ESI mass spectra of 9EG-OTS.





Figure S4. The ESI mass spectra of IPA-9EG.





Figure S6. The ESI mass spectra of TEMPO-Br.





Figure S8. The ESI mass spectra of IPA-9EG-TEMPO.



Figure S9. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of L-R in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S10. The ESI mass spectra of L-R.



Figure S11. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of L in CDCI<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S12. The ESI mass spectra of L-R.



Figure S13. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of L-R and MOC-R in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure S14.** The DLS plots of MOC-R dissolved in PBS and FBS over a period of one day.



Figure S15. The UV-vis spectra of MOC and MOC-R in PBS.



Figure S16. The SOD-like Inhibition rate of MOC-R toward  $\bullet O_2^-$ .



**Figure S17.** The H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration dependent CAT-like activity of different groups.



Figure S18. The hydroxyl radical scavenging capacity of different groups.



Figure S19. The ABTS radical scavenging capacity of different groups.



**Figure S20.** The evaluation of the biocompatibility of MOC-R (H&E staining of major organs). Scale bars represent 100  $\mu$ m.



**Figure S21.** Representative Masson's trichrome staining of kidney sections at 14 and 28 days post-reperfusion (10× and 20×). Scale bars represent 100 μm.