Supplemental Information for

Assay design for unambiguous identification and quantification of circulating pathogenderived peptide biomarkers

Qingbo Shu¹[†], Shan Liu^{2, 1}[†], Tonino Alonzi³, Sylvia M. LaCourse⁴, Dhiraj Kumar Singh⁵, Duran Bao¹, Dalton Wamalwa⁶, Li Jiang^{2,7}, Christopher J. Lyon¹, Grace John-Stewart⁴, Deepak Kaushal⁵, Delia Goletti³, Tony Hu¹*

1 Center for Cellular and Molecular Diagnostics, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, School of Medicine, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA.

2 Sichuan Provincial Key Laboratory for Human Disease Gene Study, Department of Medical Genetics, Department of Laboratory medicine, Sichuan Academy of Medical Sciences & Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, University of Electronic Science and Technology, Chengdu, China.

3 Translational Research Unit, National Institute for Infectious Diseases Lazzaro Spallanzani-IRCCS, Rome, Italy.

4 Departments of Medicine, Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, and Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, USA.

5 Southwest National Primate Research Center, Texas Biomedical Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, USA.

6 Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya.

7 Department of Laboratory Medicine, Sichuan Academy of Medical Sciences and Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, Chengdu, China.

*corresponding author: Tony Hu. tonyhu@tulane.edu

Table of Content

Item	Name	Page #
Supplemental	Diagnosis of adult and pediatric TB patients	3-4
Methods		
Supplemental	StageTip clean-up of peptide eluent	4
Methods		
Supplemental	Standard curve for CFP-10 quantification	5
Methods		
Supplemental	Supplemental References	5-6
References		
Figure S1	Determination of the retention time window for MRM	7-8
C	time series extraction	
Figure S2	Target peptide selection based on sequence specificity and	9-10
8	immunogenicity	
Figure S3	Selection of CFP-10pep MRM-MS transition ions	11-12
Figure S4	Peak recognition and differentiation of noise from signal	13-14
1.180.10 2.1	by transition correlation analysis	10 1 1
Figure S5	Peak recognition and differentiation of noise from signal	15-16
i igui e se	by transition correlation analysis	10 10
Figure S6	CFP-10pep assay results from four healthy donors with	17
i iguie so	dotn and rdotn values above the selected cutoffs for these	17
	narameters (#1-4 in Fig. 2a-h)	
Figure S7	Spearman correlation analysis of neak features	18
Table S1	CEP_10nen neak features in triplicates of serum sniked	10
	with 0.5 pM CFP-10 or PBS	19
Table S2	Summary of CFP-10pep criteria detected in 20 healthy	20
	control samples	
Table S3	Estimate of the limit of detection of the CFP-10pep MRM	21
	assay	
Dataset S1	CFP-10pep mouse monoclonal antibody affinity and	Separate
	immunoprecipitation yield	file
Dataset S2	Predicted interference ions for each transition of CFP-	Separate
	10pep	file
Dataset S3	Repeating test results of CFP-10pep assay in 10 plasma	Separate
	samples	file
Dataset S4	CFP-10pep assay result in 155 clinical plasma samples	Separate
		file
Dataset S5	CFP-10pep assay and clinical diagnosis tests result for 18	Separate
	adult TB patients during anti-TB treatment	file
Dataset S6	Demographics and clinical information of 31 participants	Separate
Duniber 20	in Dominican Republic cohort	file
Dataset S7	Clinical information and diagnosis of 19 patients from	Separate
Databet D1	PUSH pediatric cohort	file
Dataset S8	Demographics and characterization of thesus macaques	Separate
Dataset 50	infected with Mth	file
Dataset S0	CEP_10nen assay result in the plasma samples from NUD	Senarata
Dataset 57	model of Mth infection	file
1		1110

Diagnosis of adult and pediatric TB patients.

Microbiologically confirmed TB cases were identified by a positive result for at least one of three tests: *Mtb* culture (sputum, bronchoalveolar lavage, pleural fluid, or an abscess specimen); Mtb-specific RNA amplification (TRCReady MTB, Tosoh, Japan) and/or Mtb-specific DNA amplification (laboratory PCR for the Mtb IS6110 gene; GeneXpert (Cepheid) assay; or GenoType MTBDRplus assay (Hain Lifescience)); and/or histopathological findings and presence of acidfast bacilli in tissue specimens. Clinically identified TB cases were diagnosed based on clinical and radiologic criteria, including appropriate response to TB-specific therapy. Extrapulmonary TB (EPTB) was defined as TB disease in any body region other than the lungs (https://www.cdc.gov/tb/topic/basics/glossary.htm). Diagnosis was performed by Mtb culture of respiratory specimens or GeneXpert or GenoType MTBDRplus analysis of sputum, pleural fluid, lymph nodes aspirate, or other specimens, or histology. In patients with negative microbiologic results, diagnosis of EPTB was determined by clinical means. EPTB patients had symptoms (fever, loss of appetite, weight loss, local pain) and signs (positive TST or IGRA; radiological images) that remitted after completion of specific anti-TB therapy. Identified TB cases were treated with first line drugs, as indicated by the updated international guidelines (1), and followed for 2 months after treatment completion. TB treatment outcomes were evaluated by (1) sputum culture conversion (for pulmonary TB cases), (2) improvement in clinical findings, and (3) improvement in radiologic lesions.

Healthy controls were individuals with low risk for *Mtb*-exposure, who lacked clinical and radiological signs consistent with active TB disease, where potential TBI was excluded based on a negative IGRA result.

Children enrolled in this study were malnourished, severely immunosuppressed, and had 22% overall mortality. All children were systematically screened for TB symptoms and TB exposure. Positive tuberculin skin tests were defined by indurations >5mm. At enrollment, all children provided sputum or gastric aspirates for GeneXpert MTB/RIF analysis and culture, urine for lipoarabinomannan (LAM) antigen testing, and stool for GeneXpert MTB/RIF testing, irrespective of TB symptoms, with a second sputum/gastric aspirate obtained for a second *Mtb* culture (2). Children had serum and plasma collected and cryopreserved at enrollment, and at 1, 2, 4, 12, and 24 weeks post-enrollment. Chest radiographs were read by a radiologist to identify findings suggestive of TB using standardized reporting forms developed by the South African Tuberculosis Vaccine Initiative (3). Diagnostic results were available to study clinicians, who initiated TB treatment at their discretion per Kenyan guidelines. Children were categorized as Confirmed, Unconfirmed, or Unlikely TB based on international consensus clinical case definitions (4).

StageTip clean-up of peptide eluent

StageTips (5) were made by packing 200 μ L pipette tips with four layers of Empore C8 solid phase extraction disk (Cat. No. 2214-C8, 3M), washed by 25°C centrifugation at 1000g for 3 min with 50 μ L of 0.1% (v/v) TFA acetonitrile solution and 50 μ L of 0.1% (v/v) TFA. Each peptide sample was split and loaded onto two StageTips, and captured peptides were eluted with 50 μ L of 0.1% (v/v) TFA acetonitrile solution. Paired eluents were combined, dried by vacuum concentration, re-dissolved with 8 μ L of sampling buffer containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid, 2% (v/v) acetonitrile, and centrifuged at 25°C and 21,000 g, for 10 min. After centrifuging the reconstituted samples at 20 °C and 21,000g for 15 minutes, the supernatant is transfer into an MS sample vial.

Standard curve for CFP-10 quantification

A standard curve used to quantify CFP-10 concentrations in serum was built by performing two-fold serial dilutions of recombinant CFP-10 in healthy human serum over a range of 0.25-128 pM. These samples were then trypsin digested, spiked with a constant amount of synthetic CFP-10pep stable-isotope-labeled internal standard peptide, subjected to immunoaffinity enrichment, and then analyzed by MRM MS. Linear curves and correlation coefficients for the relationship between the CFP-10pep/IS peak area ratio and CFP-10 concentration were generated using GraphPad Prism (version 8.4.3, GraphPad Software). Since peaks detected in the 0.25 pM standard did not meet CFP-10 peak detection criteria (**Table S3**), the peak area ratio of this this falsenegative sample was analyzed 10 times and mean of this value plus three times its standard deviation was used to calculate the CFP-10pep limit of detection (0.53 pM) when evaluated by the standard curve equation.

Supplemental References

1. Nahid P, Dorman SE, Alipanah N, Barry PM, Brozek JL, Cattamanchi A, et al. Official American Thoracic Society/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/Infectious Diseases Society of America Clinical Practice Guidelines: Treatment of Drug-Susceptible Tuberculosis. Clin Infect Dis. 2016;63(7):e147-e95.

2. LaCourse SM, Pavlinac PB, Cranmer LM, Njuguna IN, Mugo C, Gatimu J, et al. Stool Xpert MTB/RIF and urine lipoarabinomannan for the diagnosis of tuberculosis in hospitalized HIV-infected children. AIDS. 2018;32(1):69-78.

3. Graham SM, Ahmed T, Amanullah F, Browning R, Cardenas V, Casenghi M, et al. Evaluation of tuberculosis diagnostics in children: 1. Proposed clinical case definitions for classification of intrathoracic tuberculosis disease. Consensus from an expert panel. J Infect Dis. 2012;205 Suppl 2:S199-208. 4. Graham SM, Cuevas LE, Jean-Philippe P, Browning R, Casenghi M, Detjen AK, et al. Clinical Case Definitions for Classification of Intrathoracic Tuberculosis in Children: An Update. Clin Infect Dis. 2015;61Suppl 3:S179-87.

5. Rappsilber J, Mann M, Ishihama Y. Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, prefractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. Nature Protocols. 2007;2(8):1896-906.



Figure S1. Determination of the retention time window for MRM time series extraction. a Full width at base of CFP-10pep precursor ion. **b** The average full width at half maximum of the

seven ions in healthy human serum spiked with 0-10 pM of recombinant CFP-10 protein prior to trypsin digestion, indicating the 3-fold standard deviation (SD) of this measure as dashed lines and individual values for each concentration standard. The scan numbers are calculated based on the fixed cycle time of each scan as 0.0096 min. **c** Evaluation of the elution window as the number of scans for MRM data extraction and analysis. Cross-correlation was used to assess the potential peak shift that can be significantly correlated (absolute value of coefficient>0.35). The CFP-10pep elution window (41 scans) was defined by its maximum full width at base (35 scans) plus the potential shift as 6 scans.



Figure S2. Target peptide selection based on sequence specificity and immunogenicity. a Map of *Mtb* CFP-10 tryptic peptides \geq 7 amino acids. b *Mtb* CFP-10 peptide matches with NTM species responsible for >80% of pulmonary mycobacterial infections. c Precursor ion intensities for *Mtb*-specific/selective tryptic peptides derived from a recombinant *Mtb* CFP-10 protein digest. d-f

Predicted antigenicity of candidate peptides estimated by algorithms from (**d**) GenScript and (**e**) Thermo Scientific, and (**f**) the B-cell epitope score (blue line) of each amino acid in the full-length CFP-10 sequence. Peptides and their sequence regions that score above or below the cut-off values (dashed gray lines) of the indicated algorithms are shaded red or gray, respectively. **g-h** Relative ELISA signal detected upon incubation of immune serum from four rabbits (colored lines) immunized against (**g**) peptide 1 or (**h**) peptide 2 with serial dilutions of their target peptides.





3.00

JA.

12 20 20

Figure S3. **Selection of CFP-10pep MRM-MS transition ions. a** Annotated tandem MS spectrum data for *Mtb* CFP-10pep, with mass errors shown below the spectrum. **b** Comparison of the peak intensities versus the number of theoretical CFP-10pep fragment ion interferences. SRMCollider software was used to predict potential interferences for each theoretical CFP10pep MRM transition when setting the human proteome as the peptide background. Seven fragment

DIA

\$

5ª

285

ions (y5-y11) were selected as MRM Q3 ions based on their relative signal and number of potentially inferring ions.



Figure S4. **Peak recognition and differentiation of noise from signal by transition correlation analysis. a** Extracted ion chromatographs for (upper panel) CFP-10pep and (lower panel) its heavy-isotope-labeled IS peptide in affinity enriched samples from three replicates of trypsindigested healthy human serum spiked with 12.5 nM of the IS peptide. Recognized peaks (blue) are labeled with their signal-to-noise ratio (SN). **b** Targeted retention time window extracted ion chromatographs for the seven selected transition ions in the blank serum samples in (**a**). **c** Kendall rank order correlation coefficient matrix for the seven transitions in blank serum samples.

Correlation coefficients are color coded, and the circle size represented for their significance p value. Asterisks indicate the correlation coefficients that significantly differ from zero (p<0.05), as determined by the Kendall tau value. The number of positive and negative correlated transition ions (# ρ_{ip}^+ , # ρ_{ip}^-), and the sum of all significant coefficients ($\sum s \rho_{ip}^{\pm}$) are indicated on each array.



Figure S5. Peak recognition and differentiation of noise from signal by transition correlation analysis. a Extracted ion chromatographs for (upper panel) CFP-10pep and (lower panel) its heavy-isotope-labeled IS peptide in affinity enriched samples from three replicates of trypsindigested healthy human serum spiked with 0.5 pM recombinant CFP-10 and 12.5 nM of the IS peptide. Recognized peaks (blue) are labeled with their signal-to-noise ratio (SN). **b** Targeted retention time window extracted ion chromatographs s for the seven selected transition ions in the blank serum samples in (**a**). **c** Kendall rank order correlation coefficient matrix for the seven

transitions in blank serum samples. Correlation coefficients are color coded, and the circle size represented for their significance p value. Asterisks indicate the correlation coefficients that are significantly different from zero (p<0.05). The number of positive and negative correlated transition ions (# ρ_{ip}^+ , # ρ_{ip}^-), and the sum of all significant coefficients ($\sum s \rho_{ip}^{\pm}$) are indicated.



Figure S6. CFP-10pep assay results from four healthy donors with dotp and rdotp values above the selected cutoffs for these parameters (#1-4 in Fig. 2a-b). a Extracted ion chromatographs (EIC) peaks corresponding to the integrated target transition ions (y5-y11) of the spiked-in stable-isotope-labeled IS peptide, where elution times for samples 1-2 and 3-4 reflect minor differences in column elution behavior after replacing one column with an identical column. b SNRs for non-specific integrated EIC peaks generated by serum-derived transition ions that coelute with IS peptide transition ions, where blue shading indicates the Genesis algorithmrecognized peaks with SNR values ≥ 2 . c EIC peaks for non-specific signals detected within this target window that matched the seven targeted CFP-10pep fragment ions.



Figure S7. Spearman correlation analysis of peak features. a dotp and rdotp, **b** the percentage of negatively correlated pairs and the sum of Kendall's rank-order correlation coefficients that are significantly different from zero, **c** the percentage of negatively correlated pairs and dotp, **d** the percentage of negatively correlated pairs and rdotp, **e** SNR and dotp **f** the sum of Kendall's rank-order correlation coefficients and dotp, **g** SNR and the sum of Kendall's rank-order correlation coefficients, **h** SNR and the percentage of negatively correlated pairs in the 155 MRM data from the adult TB study cohort shown in **Table 1**.

	dotp	rdotp	SNR	$ ho_{ip}^+$	ρ _{ip} -	%ρ _{ip} -	$\sum s \rho_{ip}^{\pm}$	Peak area ratio (x10 ⁻³)
0.5 pM_1	0.780	0.878	3.400	12	0	0	5.03	1.32
0.5 pM_2	0.835	0.966	3.500	16	0	0	7.81	1.86
0.5 pM_3	0.845	0.942	4.360	17	0	0	7.43	2.41
Mean	0.820	0.929	3.753					1.86
SD	0.035	0.046	0.528					
Criteria	Mean-3×SD							
Threshold	0.71	0.79	2.2					
	dotp	rdotp	SNR	$ ho_{ip}^+$	ρ _{ip} -	%ρ _{ip} -	$\sum s \rho_{ip}^{\pm}$	Peak area ratio (x10 ⁻³)
NEG_1	dotp 0.621	rdotp 0.763	SNR 1.000	ρ _{ip} + 2	ρ _{ip} - 3	%ρ _{ip} - 0.60	∑ sρ ip [±] -0.18	Peak area ratio (x10 ⁻³) 0.57
NEG_1 NEG_2	dotp 0.621 0.586	rdotp 0.763 0.662	SNR 1.000 2.010	ρ _{ip} ⁺ 2 5	ρ _{ip} - 3 2	% ρ _{ip} - 0.60 0.29	$\sum s \rho_{ip}^{\pm}$ -0.18 0.99	Peak area ratio (x10⁻³) 0.57 0.93
NEG_1 NEG_2 NEG_3	dotp 0.621 0.586 0.772	rdotp 0.763 0.662 0.883	SNR 1.000 2.010 1.000	ρ _{ip} + 2 5 4	ρ _{ip} - 3 2 2	%ρ _{ip} - 0.60 0.29 0.33	$\sum s \rho_{ip}^{\pm}$ -0.18 0.99 1.28	Peak area ratio (x10 ⁻³) 0.57 0.93 0.94
NEG_1 NEG_2 NEG_3	dotp 0.621 0.586 0.772	rdotp 0.763 0.662 0.883	SNR 1.000 2.010 1.000	ρ _{ip} + 2 5 4	ρ _{ip} - 3 2 2	%ρ _{ip} - 0.60 0.29 0.33	$\sum s \rho_{ip}^{\pm}$ -0.18 0.99 1.28	Peak area ratio (x10 ⁻³) 0.57 0.93 0.94
NEG_1 NEG_2 NEG_3 Mean	dotp 0.621 0.586 0.772	rdotp 0.763 0.662 0.883	SNR 1.000 2.010 1.000	ρ _{ip} + 2 5 4	ρ _{ip} ⁻ 3 2 2	% ρ _{ip} - 0.60 0.29 0.33 0.41	$\frac{\sum s \rho_{ip}^{\pm}}{-0.18}$ 0.99 1.28 0.70	Peak area ratio (x10 ⁻³) 0.57 0.93 0.94 0.81
NEG_1 NEG_2 NEG_3 Mean SD	dotp 0.621 0.586 0.772	rdotp 0.763 0.662 0.883	SNR 1.000 2.010 1.000	ρ _{ip} ⁺ 2 5 4	ρ _{ip} ⁻ 3 2 2	%ρ _{ip} - 0.60 0.29 0.33 0.41 0.17	$\frac{\sum s \rho_{ip}^{\pm}}{-0.18}$ -0.18 0.99 1.28 0.70 0.78	Peak area ratio (x10 ⁻³) 0.57 0.93 0.94 0.81
NEG_1 NEG_2 NEG_3 Mean SD 95%CI range	dotp 0.621 0.586 0.772	rdotp 0.763 0.662 0.883	SNR 1.000 2.010 1.000	ρ _{ip} + 2 5 4	ρ _{ip} ⁻ 3 2 2	%ρ _{ip} - 0.60 0.29 0.33 0.41 0.17 0.19	$\frac{\sum s \rho_{ip}^{\pm}}{0.99}$ 1.28 0.70 0.78 0.88	Peak area ratio (x10 ⁻³) 0.57 0.93 0.94 0.81
NEG_1 NEG_2 NEG_3 Mean SD 95%CI range Criteria	dotp 0.621 0.586 0.772	rdotp 0.763 0.662 0.883	SNR 1.000 2.010 1.000	ρ _{ip} + 2 5 4	ρ _{ip} ⁻ 3 2 2	% ρ _{ip} ⁻ 0.60 0.29 0.33 0.41 0.17 0.19 LL 95% CI	∑spip [±] -0.18 0.99 1.28 0.70 0.78 0.88 UL 95% CI	Peak area ratio (x10 ⁻³) 0.57 0.93 0.94 0.81

 Table S1. CFP-10pep peak features in triplicates of serum spiked with 0.5 pM CFP-10 or PBS.

ID	dotp	rdotp	SNR	$\sum_{s} \rho_{ip}^{\pm}$	%ρ _{ip} -	ρ _{ip} ⁻	ρ _{ip} +	CFP-10 ⁺
C1	0.86	0.94	1.00	2.90	0.00	0	11	No
C2	0.83	0.93	1.00	1.34	0.00	0	3	No
C3	0.75	0.92	2.52	4.07	0.00	0	8	Yes
C4	0.81	0.92	1.00	0.70	0.00	0	2	No
C5	0.72	0.84	1.00	1.06	0.20	1	4	No
C6	0.72	0.77	1.00	2.02	0.00	0	6	No
C7	0.39	0.37	1.00	1.40	0.25	2	6	No
C8	0.43	0.41	1.00	0.48	0.00	0	1	No
С9	0.55	0.48	1.00	0.94	0.25	1	3	No
C10	0.52	0.64	1.00	0.81	0.00	0	2	No
C11	0.37	0.35	3.15	0.91	0.25	1	3	No
C12	0.73	0.74	1.00	9.41	0.00	0	20	No
C13	0.58	0.59	1.00	0.00	0.67	2	1	No
C14	0.54	0.66	1.00	0.00	0.33	1	2	No
C15	0.57	0.62	1.00	-0.58	1.00	1	0	No
C16	0.66	0.62	1.00	0.10	0.50	1	1	No
C17	0.53	0.53	1.00	-1.05	0.71	5	2	No
C18	0.68	0.67	1.00	-0.70	1.00	2	0	No
C19	0.67	0.68	1.00	-0.25	0.67	2	1	No
C20	0.51	0.53	1.00	0.45	0.25	1	3	No

Table S2. Summary of CFP-10pep criteria detected in 20 healthy control samples.

Note: all values that meet the threshold for acceptance are indicated by red text.

Replicate	dotp	rdotp	CFP10pep peak area (light)	IS peptide peak area (heavy)	CFP-10pep peak area ratio (light/heavy)
1	0.23	0.33	137	31565.5	0.0043
2	0.25	0.33	344	57385.1	0.0060
3	0.30	0.38	64	47139.1	0.0014
4	0.40	0.41	287	37966.5	0.0076
5	0.57	0.69	106	54459.2	0.0019
6	0.28	0.30	165	128364.7	0.0013
7	0.30	0.38	610	43996.4	0.0139
8	0.61	0.64	514	99722.6	0.0052
9	0.23	0.32	9	18195.9	0.0005
10	0.38	0.40	663	51118.3	0.0130

Table S3. Estimate of the limit of detection of the CFP-10pep MRM assay.

average CFP-10pep peak area ratio	0.0055
standard deviation	0.0045
limit of detection ratio=average ratio+3*standard deviation	0.0191
limit of detection ratio, log transformed	-1.7188
limit of detection concentration, log transformed	-0.27
limit of detection concentration (pM)	0.53