

Review



2021; 11(9): 4549-4566. doi: 10.7150/thno.54967

# The crosstalk between m<sup>6</sup>A RNA methylation and other epigenetic regulators: a novel perspective in epigenetic remodeling

Yanchun Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Yunhao Chen<sup>2</sup>, Mei Jin<sup>1 $\square$ </sup> and Jin Wang<sup>1 $\square$ </sup>

1. Department of Pathology, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310000, China.

2. Division of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, Department of Surgery, the First Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310000, China.

🖂 Corresponding authors: Mei Jin, E-mail: 3193013@zju.edu.cn; Jin Wang, E-mail: 3197039@zju.edu.cn.

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2020.10.25; Accepted: 2021.02.07; Published: 2021.03.04

#### Abstract

Epigenetic regulation involves a range of sophisticated processes which contribute to heritable alterations in gene expression without altering DNA sequence. Regulatory events predominantly include DNA methylation, chromatin remodeling, histone modifications, non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs), and RNA modification. As the most prevalent RNA modification in eukaryotic cells, N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine (m<sup>6</sup>A) RNA methylation actively participates in the modulation of RNA metabolism. Notably, accumulating evidence has revealed complicated interrelations occurring between m<sup>6</sup>A and other well-known epigenetic modifications. Their crosstalk conspicuously triggers epigenetic remodeling, further yielding profound impacts on a variety of physiological and pathological processes, especially tumorigenesis. Herein, we provide an up-to-date review of this emerging hot area of biological research, summarizing the interplay between m<sup>6</sup>A RNA methylation and other epigenetic regulators, and highlighting their underlying functions in epigenetic reprogramming.

Key words: N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine (m<sup>6</sup>A); DNA methylation; chromatin remodeling; histone modification; non-coding RNA (ncRNA); RNA modification

#### Introduction

Epigenetics, which represents the modulation of heritable phenotypes without any alterations in DNA sequences, has become a significant regulatory mechanism of diverse physiological or pathological processes. The scope of epigenetics is extensive, typically including DNA methylation, chromatin remodeling, histone modification, non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) and RNA modification [1]. The first three members are superstars in epigenetics, and have been studied extensively so far. ncRNAs, mainly comprised of microRNAs (miRNAs), long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) and circular RNAs (circRNAs) [2], have provoked accumulating interests nowadays. In addition, there are more than 100 categories of RNA chemical modifications, and the common types include N6-methyladenosine (m6A), pseudouridine ( $\psi$ ), 2'-O-methylation (Nm), m<sup>1</sup>A, 5-methylcytosine

(m<sup>5</sup>C), adenosine-to-inosine (A-to-I), and N<sup>6</sup>, 2'-O-dimethyladenosine (m<sup>6</sup>Am) [3-7]. Notably, m<sup>6</sup>A RNA methylation is the most abundant internal mRNA modification in mammals [8]. With the rapid development of detection methodologies and high-throughput sequencing, the genome-wide features of m<sup>6</sup>A are being uncovered, which have increasingly attracted the attention of bioscience researchers.

In the case of total RNA, m<sup>6</sup>A methylation occurs in approximately 0.1-0.4% of adenosines [9], predominantly located at 3' untranslated regions (3'UTRs), near stop codons and within the long internal exon [10, 11]. DRACH sequences are verified as the consensus motif of m<sup>6</sup>A (D = G/A/U; R = G/A; H = U/A/C) [12]. Strikingly, m<sup>6</sup>A modification is a reversible and dynamic process, which is deposited by methyltransferases (also called "writers"), and removed by demethylases (also called "erasers") (Figure 1) [13, 14]. Subsequently, m<sup>6</sup>A-binding proteins (also called "readers" or "effectors") recognize and bind to the m6A marks of targeted RNAs to influence their RNA metabolism, including translation, alternative splicing stability. and transport [15-18]. Furthermore, m<sup>6</sup>A plays a key role in far-ranging biological processes, such as cell differentiation, tissue development, environmental stress response, spermatogenesis, immune homeostasis and tumorigenesis [13].

Remarkably, it is commonly acknowledged that epigenetic regulations are intricate due to the interactions among epigenetic modifiers [19, 20]. As a research frontier, m6A is just like a storm center to frequently interact with its peripheral partners, the other epigenetic modulators. These partners can be modified and regulated by m6A modification, while m<sup>6</sup>A methylation may also be efficiently controlled by these regulators [20-22]. The coordinated relationships between m6A machinery and any other epigenetic counterparts elicit the epigenetic remodeling, which accounts for the perplexing modulations of various bioprocesses. Herein, we summarize the up-to-date findings about the interplay of m6A RNA methylation and other and epigenetic modifications (Tables 1-3), demonstrate how these associations impact biological functions, particularly in oncogenesis and tumor progression, highlighting the potential of m<sup>6</sup>A as a therapeutic target in the clinical practice.

#### The genealogy of m<sup>6</sup>A modification

#### m<sup>6</sup>A writers

The installation of m<sup>6</sup>A methylation is manipulated by the methyltransferase complex (MTC), which largely comprises of methyltransferaselike 3 (METTL3), methyltransferase-like 14 (METTL14), and Wilms tumor 1-associated protein (WTAP) [23]. METTL3 functions as a key catalytic element to facilitate the formation of m6A, while METTL14 acts as an RNA-binding scaffold to promote the enzymatic activity of METTL3 [24, 25]. WTAP is responsible the stabilization for of the METTL3-METTL14 heterodimer and ensuring their accurate localization to nuclear speckles [26]. Moreover, there are other co-factors involved in the conformation of MTC, including vir-like m<sup>6</sup>A methyltransferase associated (VIRMA, also known as KIAA1429) [27], Cbl proto-oncogene like 1 (CBLL1, also known as HAKAI), RNA-binding motif protein 15 (RBM15) with its paralogue RBM15B [28], and zinc finger CCCH domain-containing protein 13 (ZC3H13)

[29, 30]. Notably, METTL16 is another m<sup>6</sup>A methyltransferase, which dominates cellular SAM levels and mediates m<sup>6</sup>A modification of U6 small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs), pre-mRNAs or certain types of lncRNAs [31, 32]. Additionally, METTL5 and ZCCHC4 have been identified as m<sup>6</sup>A methyltransferases for 18S rRNA and 28S rRNA, respectively [33, 34].

Table 1.	The	complicated	interactions	between	m⁰A	and	other
epigenetic	: mod	lifications					

Categories	Related	m <sup>6</sup> A	Mechanisms	Reference
	component	regulators		s
epigenetics	S			1.01
DNA	SIDML2	SIALKBH2	SIDML2-induced DNA	[62]
methylation			methylation regulates the	
			m <sup>b</sup> A demethylase SIALKBH2,	
			while SIALKBH2-guided mºA	
			the stability of Em.C.	
			domothylasa SIDML2 in turn	
	DNIMT1	METTL2	The highling of DNMT1 and	[(4]
	DNMT1, DNMT3a	METTLS	DNMT3a to METTL3	[04]
			promoter is reduced by	
			cigarette smoke condensate	
			(CSC), leading to the	
			and facilitating its expression	
	,		and facilitating its expression.	[/=]
	/	ALKBH5	The CpG island of ALKBH5 is	[65]
			hypomethylated by CSC,	
			which increases ALKBH5	
C1		DD) (15	PRM15	[7]
chromatin	BAF155	KBM15	RBM15 accelerates the decay	[67]
remodeling			factor BAE1EE aris the mea	
			methylation machinery	
	cor PN A c	METTI 2	METTL2 promotos m6A	[22]
	CarNINAS	VTHDC1	methylation of	[22]
		mber	chromosome-associated	
			regulatory RNAs (carRNAs).	
			while YTHDC1 mediates their	
			degradation.	
Histone	H3K27ac,	METTL14	METTL14 not only alters	[21]
modificatio	H3K27me3,		H3K27me3 modification, but	
n	CBP, p300		also regulates H3K27ac	
	1		modification by destabilizing	
			CBP and p300 mRNAs.	
	H3K27me3,	METTL3	METTL3 deposits m <sup>6</sup> A	[70]
	Ezh2		modification on histone	
			methyltransferase Ezh2,	
			which increases the level of	
			H3K27me3.	
	H3K4me3	METTL3,	The m <sup>6</sup> A modification	[71]
		METTL14,	catalyzed by	
		WTAP	METTL3/METTL14/WTAP	
			complex substantially	
			strengthens H3K4me3	
	n (III) (	1	modification.	[70]
	JMJD6	nnkinpA2B	Arginine demethylase JMJD6	[/2]
		T	acuvates nnKNPA2B1	
			demethylation at Arg226	
	H3K27aa	METTI 2	H2K27ac modification on the	[73]
	113NZ/ac	IVIE I I LO	promoter of METTI 2 triggers	[73]
			its transcription	
	H3K4ma3	METTI 14	KDM5C-mediated	[74]
	KDM5C	101211214	demethylation of H3K4mo2	[/ =]
	NDWIJC		suppresses METTL14	
			transcription.	
	H3K36me3	METTI 14	H3K36me3 mark recomized	[75]
	101001100		by METTI 14 promotes the	r. ~1
			binding of m <sup>6</sup> A	
			methyltransferase complex to	
			adjacent RNA polymerase II.	
			depositing m <sup>6</sup> Å	
			co-transcriptionally.	
			* *	

Categories	Related	m <sup>6</sup> A	Mechanisms	Reference
of	component	regulators		s
epigenetics	H3K9me2, KDM3B	YTHDC1	YTHDC1 induces the H3K9me2 demethylation via recruiting KDM3B to the m <sup>6</sup> A-marked chromatin regions.	[76]
RNA modificatio	m <sup>1</sup> A	FTO	FTO mediates demethylation of m <sup>1</sup> A in tRNA.	[37]
n		YTHDF1-3, YTHDC1	m <sup>6</sup> A-binding proteins YTHDF1-3 and YTHDC1 are capable of directly binding to the m <sup>1</sup> A sites.	[80]
		YTHDF2	YTHDF2 recognizes m <sup>1</sup> A-modified transcripts and mediates their decay.	[81]
	m⁵C	YTHDF2	m <sup>6</sup> A reader YTHDF2 and m <sup>5</sup> C writer NSUN2 cooperatively facilitate murine leukemia virus (MLV) replication.	[88]
		METTL3, METTL14	METTL3/METTL14-mediate d m <sup>6</sup> A methylation and NSUN2-mediated m <sup>5</sup> C methylation collaborate with each other to strengthen the expression of p21 mRNA.	[89]
		YTHDF2	YTHDF2 binds to m <sup>5</sup> C in rRNA with the Trp432 residue, remarkably decreasing the m <sup>5</sup> C level.	[90]
	A-to-I	/	Loss of m <sup>A</sup> A modification contributes to the elevated level of A-to-I editing via the favorable association of ADAR with m <sup>6</sup> A-depleted transcripts	[4]
	Pseudogene	METTL3	METTL3 elevates the expression of lncRNA pseudogene Olfr29-ps1 and facilitates its sponge to miR-214-3p.	[94]
		/	m <sup>6</sup> A modification and pseudouridine (Ψ) collaboratively weaken the binding of RBP hPUM2 to its targeted RNAs.	[95]
	m <sup>6</sup> Am	METTL3, WTAP, ALKBH5	The m <sup>6</sup> Am signal can be detected in METTL3, WTAP and ALKBH5, while the m <sup>6</sup> A signal is found in m <sup>6</sup> Am writer PCIF1.	[105]
		FTO	FTO is responsible for the demethylation of both m <sup>6</sup> A and m <sup>6</sup> Am modifications.	[25, 37]

#### m<sup>6</sup>A erasers

Fat mass and obesity-associated (FTO) and alkB homolog 5 (ALKBH5) are the only two known m<sup>6</sup>A demethylases to date. Although both demethylases belong to the AlkB family of dioxygenases, they eliminate m<sup>6</sup>A through different mechanisms. As the first identified m<sup>6</sup>A demethylase, FTO induces demethylation activity depending on the oxidative function, which requires iron (II) and  $\alpha$ -KG [35]. Specifically, FTO initially oxidizes m<sup>6</sup>A to form intermediate products, including N<sup>6</sup>-hydroxymethyladenosine (hm<sup>6</sup>A) and N<sup>6</sup>-formyladenosine (f<sup>6</sup>A), and subsequently hydrolyzes the products into adenosine, which is a sequential and multi-step procedure. However, the catalytic process mediated by ALKBH5 is a one-step reaction process, in which ALKBH5 directly abrogates m<sup>6</sup>A in an oxidative-dependent manner [36]. Furthermore, a discrepancy has been observed in the recognition of substrates between ALKBH5 and FTO. ALKBH5 acts as an m<sup>6</sup>A-specific demethylase, while FTO can demethylate a variety of RNA modifications, such as m<sup>6</sup>A, m<sup>6</sup>Am and m<sup>1</sup>A [37].

 Table 2. The specific molecular mechanisms and biological functions of m<sup>6</sup>A modification on ncRNAs

Categories	m <sup>6</sup> A-	Non-	Mechanisms	Biological	Referenc
	related enzymes	coding RNAs		runctions	es
m <sup>6</sup> A- miRNA	METTL3	miR-221/2 22	Promoting miR-221/222 maturation.	Accelerating cell proliferation of bladder cancer.	[110]
	METTL3	miR-1246	Facilitating miR-1246 maturation.	Promoting the metastasis of colorectal cancer.	[111]
	METTL3	miR-873-5 p	Strengthening miR-873-5p maturation.	Blocking oxidative stress and apoptosis in colistin- evoked nephrotoxicit V.	[112]
	METTL3	miR-143-3 p	Enhancing miR-143-3p maturation.	Facilitating angiogenesis and brain metastasis of lung cancer.	[113]
	METTL3	miR-320	Increasing the m <sup>6</sup> A level of pre-miR-320.	Driving osteogenic differentiation of bone marrow-deriv ed mesenchymal stem cells.	[114]
	METTL3	miR-7212-5 P	Mediating miR-7212-5p maturation.	Inhibiting osteoblast differentiation and fracture healing.	[115]
	METTL3, NKAP	miR-25-3p	Accelerating miR-25-3p maturation.	Promoting the progression of pancreatic cancer.	[66]
	METTL14	miR-126	Inducing miR-126 maturation.	Suppressing hepatocellular carcinoma metastasis.	[117]
m <sup>6</sup> A- lncRNA	METTL3, YTHDF3	MALAT1	Enhancing the stability of MALAT1.	Inducing drug resistance and metastasis of non-small cell lung cancer.	[128]
	WTAP	XIST	Co-localizing with XIST.	Participating in XIST-mediate d silencing.	[130]
	METTL3, WTAP, RBM15/ 15B, YTHDC1	XIST	Promoting XIST- mediated transcriptional repression.	/	[28]
	METTL14, YTHDF2	XIST	Abolishing the stability of XIST.	Suppressing proliferation and metastasis of colorectal cancer.	[133]

Categories	m <sup>6</sup> A-	Non-	Mechanisms	Biological	Referenc
	related	coding RNAs		functions	es
	METTL3	LINC00958	Promoting the stability of LINC00958.	Increasing the lipogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma.	[135]
	METTL3, METTL14	LNCARO D	Up-regulating the expression of LNCAROD.	Facilitating the progression of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.	[136]
	VIRMA	CCA1/2	Increasing the m <sup>6</sup> A level of CCA1/2.	Inducing aggressive phenotype of prostate cancer.	[137]
	IGF2BP2	DANCR	Strengthening the stability of DANCR.	Enhancing stemness-like properties of pancreatic cancer.	[52]
	ALKBH5, YTHDF2	PVT1	Elevating PVT1 expression.	Promoting the tumorigenesis of osteosarcoma	[138]
	METTL3	RHPN1-AS 1	Enhancing RHPN1-AS1 expression.	Accelerating the proliferation and metastasis of epithelial ovarian cancer.	[139]
	METTL3, ALKBH5, hnRNPA2 B1	RP11	Increasing the expression of RP11.	Triggering the metastasis of colorectal cancer.	[140]
	YTHDF3	GAS5	Promoting the decay of GAS5.	Inhibiting the tumorigenesis of colorectal cancer	[141]
	METTL3, METTL14, WTAP, ALKBH5, YTHDF1	LINC00278	Modulating the m <sup>6</sup> A modification of <i>LINC00278</i> and then affecting YY1BM translation.	Regulating the progression of cigarette smoking-relat ed esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.	[65]
	METTL3, YTHDC1	pncRNA-D	Methylating pncRNA-D and inhibiting its binding to TLS.	Modulating cell cycle.	[142]
	METTL3	linc1281	Sustaining the interaction of linc1281 and pluripotency-rela ted miRNAs.	Affecting the differentiation potential of embryonic stem cells.	[143]
	YTHDF2	lnc-Dpf3	Inducing the degradation of lnc-Dpf3.	Controlling the migration of dendritic cells.	[144]
m <sup>6</sup> A-circRN A	YTHDC1	circNSUN2	Recognizing circNSUN2 to enhance its cytoplasmic transport.	Facilitating colorectal carcinoma liver metastasis.	[156]
	METTL3, YTHDC1, YTHDF3	circ-ZNF60 9	Promoting circZNF609 translation.	/	[158]

#### m<sup>6</sup>A readers

The m<sup>6</sup>A readers primarily consist of YT521-B homology (YTH) domain family proteins (YTHDF1/2/3), YTH domain containing proteins (YTHDC1/2) [15-17, 38, 39], insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA-binding proteins (IGF2BP1/2/3) [40], and heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (HNRNP) family (HNRNPA2B1, HNRNPC and HNRNPG) [41-43], which exert a great influence on the destiny of targeted RNAs.

Table 3. The underlying molecular mechanisms and biological functions of ncRNAs on m6A modification

Categories	Non- coding RNAs	m <sup>6</sup> A- related enzymes	Mechanisms	Biological functions	References
miRNA- m <sup>6</sup> A	miR-145	YTHDF2	Inhibiting the expression of YTHDF2.	/	[121]
	miR-186	METTL3	Suppressing METTL3 expression.	Inhibiting the growth and metastasis of hepatoblastoma	[122]
	let-7g	METTL3	Attenuating the expression of METTL3.	Accelerating the progression of breast cancer.	[123]
lncRNA- m <sup>6</sup> A	LINRIS	IGF2BP2	Maintaining the stability of IGF2BP2.	Promoting the aerobic glycolysis in colorectal cancer.	[145]
	ARHGAP5- AS1	METTL3	Recruiting METTL3 to methylate and stabilize ARHGAP5.	Strengthening the chemo- resistance of gastric cancer.	[146]
	GAS5-AS1	ALKBH5	Interacting with ALKBH5 to demethylate and stabilize GAS5.	Suppressing the growth and metastasis of cervical cancer.	[147]
	FOXM1-AS	ALKBH5	Increasing the binding of ALKBH5 to FOXM1 pre-mRNA.	Facilitating the tumorigenicity of glioblastoma stem-like cells.	[116]
	GATA3-AS	KIAA1429	Enhancing the interaction between KIAA1429 and GATA3 pre-mRNA.	Accelerating hepatocellular carcinoma progression.	[149]
	LINC00266-1	IGF2BP1	Promoting the recognition of IGF2BP1 upon m <sup>6</sup> A- modified RNAs like c-Mvc	Strengthening tumorigenesis of colorectal cancer.	[150]
circRNA- m <sup>6</sup> A	circSTAG1	ALKBH5	Capturing ALKBH5 and reducing its intranuclear translocation.	Attenuating the depressive-like behaviors.	[163]

As the first and most extensively investigated m6A reader, YTHDF2 can bind to the m6A residues in 3'UTR and facilitate RNA degradation [16, 44]. Unlike YTHDF2, YTHDF1 selectively recognizes m6A marks in 5'UTR and near the stop codon, and boots the translation efficiency of targeted genes via the interaction with eukaryotic initiation factor 3 (eIF3) [15]. Interestingly, YTHDF3 performs the dual functions of facilitating translation and inducing degradation of targeted transcripts [38]. However, a recent research carried out by Zaccara et al. challenges

the conventional views and reveals that there is no evidence to demonstrate the direct role of YTHDF proteins in promoting RNA translation [45]. They also put forward an unified model of m6A function in which m<sup>6</sup>A modification predominantly affects mRNA degradation through the combined action of three redundant YTHDF proteins. These controversial viewpoints show the complex roles of YTHDFs in the regulation, which require further m<sup>6</sup>A-based discussion and verification. YTHDC1 is an m6A reader which not only regulates alternative splicing and nuclear export, but also accelerates mRNA degradation [17, 18, 22]. YTHDC2 can induce the translation elongation of m6A-modified mRNAs, but also reduce the stability of certain targeted mRNAs [39, 46, 47]. Furthermore, IGF2BPs are another cluster of readers whose K homology (KH) domains are required for m<sup>6</sup>A recognition. Generally, IGF2BPs can enhance the stability and translation of m6Acontaining mRNAs [40, 48-52].

The binding of HNRNPA2B1 and m<sup>6</sup>A is mediated by a mechanism called "m<sup>6</sup>A switch", in which alteration in the structure of targeted RNA caused by m<sup>6</sup>A methylation enhances the combination of m<sup>6</sup>A and HNRNPA2B1 [41, 43]. HNRNPA2B1 not only recognizes nuclear m<sup>6</sup>A-bearing transcripts to promote alternative splicing, but also strengthens primary miRNA processing [53]. HNRNPG is capable of regulating alternative splicing or gene expression [42]. Furthermore, HNRNPC may participate in the RNA processing of mRNAs or lncRNAs depending on m<sup>6</sup>A modification [41].

Strikingly, some reader-like effectors are also crucial for m<sup>6</sup>A regulation. For example, eIF3 can facilitate m<sup>6</sup>A-mediated translation [54]. METTL3 has the capacity to promote translation of several mRNAs independent of its methyltransferase activity and other m<sup>6</sup>A-binding proteins [55]. In addition, HuR is recognized to be involved in m<sup>6</sup>A-related events [56]. However, the regulatory modes of HuR are currently

## The interplay between m<sup>6</sup>A and other epigenetic modifications

#### m<sup>6</sup>A and DNA methylation

DNA methylation is a well-known and crucial epigenetic modification [57]. Studies have revealed that DNA 5mC and 6mA methylations are the most common types of DNA modifications in eukaryotes and prokaryotes, respectively. Specifically, 5mC is generated by DNA methyltransferase 3A (DNMT3A) and DNMT3B [58], while demethylated either actively via ten-eleven translocation (TET) or passively by diluting DNA methylation labels during DNA replication [59, 60]. In addition, N6AMT1 and ALKBH1 have been characterized as methyltransferase and demethylase of 6mA modification, respectively [61].

Notably, an RNA methylome manifests the crosstalk between RNA and DNA methylation in fruit ripening [62]. The m<sup>6</sup>A demethylase SIALKBH2, which is responsible for the decreased m<sup>6</sup>A levels of fruit-ripening genes, is modulated by SIDML2contained DNA methylation. In turn, the stability of 5mC demethylase SIDML2 is strengthened by SIALKBH2-guided m<sup>6</sup>A demethylation (Figure 2A). Most recently, a comprehensive interplay between 5mC and m<sup>6</sup>A regulators across 33 cancer species based on bioinformatics analyses has been reported [63]. The two types of methylations are functionally correlated with significant co-occurrences of genetic mutations. Some of the pivotal m<sup>6</sup>A/5mC genes are combined to establish an epigenetic module eigengene (EME). Interestingly, an elevated EME implies a strongly proliferative and aggressive cellular status, low inflammatory and immune infiltration, and enhanced enrichment of stromal signatures. Furthermore, EME level is useful to predict prognosis of cancer patients.



Figure 1. The dynamic and reversible processes of m<sup>6</sup>A modification. "Writers" deposit m<sup>6</sup>A methylation on RNAs, while "erasers" remove the m<sup>6</sup>A marks. Then "readers" are responsible for regulating the fate of targeted RNAs.



Figure 2. The complex interplay between m<sup>6</sup>A modification and other epigenetic regulators including DNA methylation, chromatin remodeling, histone modification and RNA modification. (A) The regulatory circuit of m<sup>6</sup>A modification and DNA methylation. (B) The loss of 5mC DNA methylation on METTL3 promotes its expression. (C) m<sup>6</sup>A methylation affects the chromatin state by regulating the expression of carRNAs. (D) m<sup>6</sup>A impacts histone modification through modulating the level of histone-associated enzymes. (E) JMJD6 mediates the demethylation of hnRNPA2BI, impelling its translocation to cytoplasm. (F) Histone acetylation facilitates METTL3 expression. (G) m<sup>6</sup>A methylation and m<sup>5</sup>C modification cooperatively promote the translation process. (H) Deficiency of m<sup>6</sup>A methylation leads to the enhanced level of A-to-I editing. (I) m<sup>6</sup>A methylation regulates the expression of pseudogene and impacts its sponge to miRNA.

In pancreatic cancer, cigarette smoke condensate (CSC) is able to induce the hypomethylation of METTL3 through attenuating the bindings of DNMT1 and DNMT3a to the METTL3 promoter, which leads to the up-regulation of METTL3 and the following increased m<sup>6</sup>A levels of pri-miR-25 (Figure 2B) [64]. However, CSC can also contribute to a diminished m<sup>6</sup>A abundance through triggering the hypomethylation of ALKBH5 CpG island in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [65]. Thus CSC seems to be a powerful factor to indirectly influence m<sup>6</sup>A modification via the straightforward impact on DNA methylation. Moreover, m<sup>6</sup>A profiling based on human fetal tissues reveals a preferential occupation of m<sup>6</sup>A on CpG-rich promoters. CpG-related promoters are capable of modulating m<sup>6</sup>A levels [66]. These results may suggest the co-transcriptional process of m<sup>6</sup>A biogenesis and DNA methylation.

#### m<sup>6</sup>A and chromatin remodeling

Chromatin remodeling is the rearrangement of chromatin state. An open or condensed state determines the accessible or unapproachable access for DNA binding proteins. Nowadays, several studies have demonstrated the crosstalk between chromain remodeling and m<sup>6</sup>A modification. BAF155 is a chromatin remodeling factor. RBM15 can negatively regulate the expression of BAF155 mRNA by decreasing its stability and promote its decay in an m<sup>6</sup>A-dependent manner [67]. Notably, the regulation capacity of RBM15 on BAF155 requires the activity of METTL3. Furthermore, a reverse correlation between METTL3/YTHDC1 and chromatin accessibility in mouse embryonic stem cells (ESCs) is observed [22]. Specifically, METTL3 promotes the m<sup>6</sup>A methylation of chromosome-associated regulatory RNAs (carRNAs), while YTHDC1 participates in the degradation of these m6A-marked RNAs. Thus METTL3/YTHDC1-guided m<sup>6</sup>A modification regulates the chromatin state and subsequent transcription by governing the expression of carRNAs (Figure 2C).

Remarkably, a study has revealed the deposition of m<sup>6</sup>A in chromatin-associated nascent pre-mRNAs from Hela cells. This m<sup>6</sup>A methylation, which is mainly present in exons and rarely in introns, is accomplished when mRNA is released into nucleoplasm. Surprisingly, m<sup>6</sup>A modification is required for the cytoplasmic mRNA stability of nascent transcripts, but not for the majority of splicing events [68].

#### m<sup>6</sup>A and histone modification

Histone modification is a significant participant of post-translational regulations, which is involved in chromatin structure modulation, nucleosome dynamics and gene transcription. It primarily contains histone methylation, acetylation and ubiquitination. Interestingly, some modifications lead to the repression of transcription like H3K9me2/3 and H3K27me3, while others are associated with the activation of transcription including H3K4me1-3, H3K27me1, H3K36me1-3 and H3K27ac [69].

During cell development, METTL14 plays a vital role in the proliferation and differentiation of neural stem cells (NSCs). Surprisingly, the increased levels of H3K27ac, H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 modifications are observed when METTL14 is deleted. MTT assays demonstrate that m<sup>6</sup>A modulates the proliferation of NSCs partially via regulating H3K27ac and H3K27me3. Mechanistically, METTL14-mediated m<sup>6</sup>A methylation suppresses the stability of both CREB binding protein (CBP) and p300 transcripts which are the crucial modifiers of H3K27ac (Figure 2D) [21]. In addition, METTL3-mediated m6A modification is necessary for neuronal development and neurogenesis. METTL3 regulates the m<sup>6</sup>A-modified histone methyltransferase Ezh2, which further advances the level of H3K27me3 (Figure 2D) [70]. For erythropoiesis, m<sup>6</sup>A enzymes facilitate the translation of erythroid genes, especially those encoding SETD histone methyltransferases. The impairment of m6A leads to a substantial inhibition of H3K4me3 modification which is responsible for KLF1-centered transcriptional program required for erythropoiesis, heme synthesis or hemoglobin assembly [71]. These studies suggest the divergent impacts on histone methylation induced by m6A, which may indicate that m6A-mediated histone regulation is cell-type-specific.

Apart from m<sup>6</sup>A-modulated histone modifications, histone modifiers also intimately participate in m<sup>6</sup>A rearrangement. The m<sup>6</sup>A reader hnRNPA2B1 is implicated in the immune response to DNA viruses. Herpes simplex virus-1 (HSV-1) infection induces the dimerization of hnRNPA2B1, which guides its nucleo-cytoplasmic translocation. Simultaneously, the arginine demethylase JMJD6 promotes the demethylation of hnRNPA2B1 at Arg226 and activates its translocation to cytoplasm, which further magnifies the expression of IFN-β (Figure 2E) [72]. In gastric cancer, the promoter of METTL3 is marked by p300-regulated H3K27ac modification, which triggers the transcription of METTL3 and then leads to an elevated m<sup>6</sup>A level of HDGF (Figure 2F) [73]. Furthermore, KDM5C-guided demethylation of H3K4me3 modification suppresses the transcription of METTL14 which can restrain the metastasis of colorectal cancer (CRC) via promoting the m<sup>6</sup>A level of SOX4 mRNA [74].

Moreover, two studies have afforded systematic evidence for the precise and dynamical deposition of m<sup>6</sup>A and histone modification. Huang et al. find that m<sup>6</sup>A peaks associated with H3K36me3 marks mainly locate near stop codons, while those H3K36me3 loci not modified by m6A are enriched in the coding sequence (CDS) or intron [75]. The correlated positions imply their intertwined relationships. Intriguingly, although H3K36me3 cannot impact the expression of m6A key enzymes, it may affect the interaction between m<sup>6</sup>A enzymes and their targets. In other words, H3K36me3 is able to recruit m6A complex to deposit m<sup>6</sup>A imprinting. The fundamental element for the binding of m6A complex and H3K36me3 is METTL14 which is further identified to recognize H3K36me3 marks via a Pol II-independent pattern during transcription elongation [75]. Additionally, Li et al. clarify that METTL3/METTL14mediated m6A methylation modulates the levels of H3K9me2 [76]. The genome-wide correlation between m<sup>6</sup>A and KDM3B (H3K9me2 demethylase) is identified. To be specific, YTHDC1 recruits KDM3B to m<sup>6</sup>A-marked chromatin regions, triggering H3K9me2 demethylation and subsequent activation of gene expression. Conservatively, the co-occurrence of H3K36me2 and m6A is found in plants as well [77]. All these investigations reveal the co-transcriptional interplay or even co-occupancy between m6A and histone modification.

#### m<sup>6</sup>A and other RNA modifications

#### $m^{6}A$ and $m^{1}A$

Currently, m<sup>1</sup>A is considered as a reversible modification in tRNAs, rRNAs, and mRNAs, which is methylated and demethylated by TRMTs and ALKBH1/3, respectively [78, 79]. Remarkably, increasing evidence indicates a close link between m<sup>1</sup>A and m<sup>6</sup>A. Wei et al. discover that FTO has the ability to mediate both nuclear and cytoplasmic demethylation of m<sup>1</sup>A in tRNAs, and to subsequently suppress the RNA translation process [37]. The special structure of FTO is analogous to the tRNA m<sup>5</sup>C methyltransferase NSUN6, which explains why another m<sup>6</sup>A demethylase ALKBH5 cannot recognize m<sup>1</sup>A at tRNAs as a substrate. The m<sup>6</sup>A-binding proteins YTHDF1-3 and YTHDC1 are capable of directly binding to m<sup>1</sup>A sites. YTHDF2 accomplishes the recognition of m<sup>6</sup>A and m<sup>1</sup>A depending on its conserved residue Trp432 [80]. Functionally, YTHDF2 facilitates the degradation of m<sup>1</sup>A-modified transcripts [81].

Fortunately, two approaches including DARTseq and m<sup>1</sup>A-IP-seq/m<sup>1</sup>Aquant-seq, have been used to achieve genome-wide mapping of m<sup>6</sup>A and m<sup>1</sup>A with a single-base resolution, respectively [82, 83]. However, further research should be conducted to explore the mechanisms between the two types of modifications via using the novel tools.

#### m<sup>6</sup>A and m<sup>5</sup>C

The m<sup>5</sup>C modification, which is the methylation of cytosine at carbon 5, is catalyzed by NSUN proteins and DNMT2 [84, 85], and primarily occurs in tRNAs, rRNAs, and mRNAs [86]. Previous studies have reported that m<sup>5</sup>C methylation is of great significance in the RNA stability, export and transcription [87].

Remarkably, there is a subtle relationship between m<sup>5</sup>C and m<sup>6</sup>A modifications. Courtney et al. demonstrate that murine leukemia virus (MLV) transcripts exhibit high levels of m6A and m5C modifications, which lead to a high level of viral replication. Mechanistically, the ectopic expression of YTHDF2 facilitates MLV replication, while the inhibition of m5C writer NSUN2 hinders MLV replication [88], which suggests that m<sup>6</sup>A may cooperate with m<sup>5</sup>C to engage in some biological events. Coincidently, a direct synergistic effect of m6A and m<sup>5</sup>C has been reported [89]. METTL3/METTL14catalyzed m6A methylation and NSUN2-induced m5C methylation can jointly enhance the expression of p21 mRNA in response to oxidative stress-triggered cellular senescence in tumor cells (Figure 2G). In addition to the cooperative relationship, an interaction between m<sup>6</sup>A and m<sup>5</sup>C has been observed. Specifically, METTL3/METTL14-mediated m<sup>6</sup>A modification can promote NSUN2-mediated m5C modification, and vice versa.

In addition, m<sup>6</sup>A reader YTHDF2 is capable of recognizing and binding to m<sup>5</sup>C in RNA [90]. Deletion of YTHDF2 results in a remarkably expanded m<sup>5</sup>C level in rRNA. Interestingly, YTHDF2 participates in the regulation of pre-rRNA processing, which may be achieved via its modulation of m<sup>5</sup>C level.

#### m<sup>6</sup>A and A-to-I

The transition of A-to-I is processed by adenosine deaminases acting on RNA (ADAR) enzymes, which is a principal form of RNA editing [91]. It is reported that A-to-I is a key factor influencing RNA metabolism, such as miRNA processing [92].

A reverse correlation between m<sup>6</sup>A and A-to-I has been identified using genomic analyses (Figure 2H). Loss of m<sup>6</sup>A modification contributes to the elevated level of A-to-I editing via a favorable association of ADAR with m<sup>6</sup>A-depleted transcripts. However, the underlying mechanism has not been fully elucidated. One possible reason for the occurrence is that the alteration of m<sup>6</sup>A-induced RNA structure may mediate the binding of ADAR and targeted genes. The occupation of m<sup>6</sup>A enzymes on RNAs may interfere with the localization of ADAR [4]. However, whether A-to-I is capable of modulating m<sup>6</sup>A level remains indeterminate.

#### m<sup>6</sup>A and pseudogene

Pseudogene is a type of genomic element, which is partially homologous to corresponding functional genes, although lacks protein-coding capability due to mutations. Pseudogene widely participates in gene regulation [93].

Studies have revealed that there is a potential association between m<sup>6</sup>A and pseudogenes. Olfr29-ps1 is a lncRNA pseudogene, which is stimulated by cytokine IL-6 in myeloid-derived suppressor cells METTL3-mediated m<sup>6</sup>A methylation (MDSCs). expression of *Olfr29-ps1*, facilitates the and simultaneously enhances its sponge to miR-214-3p (Figure 2I). Then MyD88, which is suppressed by miR-214-3p, is up-regulated to amplify the differentiation and immunosuppressive effects of MDSCs [94]. Moreover, it is reported that m<sup>6</sup>A and pseudouridine ( $\psi$ ) can collaboratively disrupt the binding of hPUM2 to its targeted RNAs [95].

In addition, m<sup>6</sup>A and  $\psi$  play a crucial role in immunity. Durbin et al. apply a well-accepted RIG-Irelated platform to examine the immunosuppressive potential of various RNA modifications [96]. The results reveal that either m<sup>6</sup>A or  $\psi$  negatively correlates with the alleviated innate immune signaling. Specifically, m<sup>6</sup>A-modified RNAs may poorly bind to RIG-I. Although  $\psi$ -containing RNAs can intimately interact with RIG-I, they are unable to initiate the canonical RIG-I antiviral signaling.

#### m<sup>6</sup>A and m<sup>6</sup>Am

When the transcription initiation nucleoside of mRNA is 2-O-methyladeonisine (Am), m<sup>6</sup>Am methyltransferase PCIF1 is capable of catalyzing methylation on its N<sup>6</sup> position to further generate m<sup>6</sup>Am, which is dependent on the structure of 7-methylguanosine (m<sup>7</sup>G) cap [97-101]. Studies have revealed that m<sup>6</sup>Am can reinforce the stability of transcripts [102], while the findings about its effects on translation are inconsistently identified. Akichika

et al. illustrate that m<sup>6</sup>Am enhances the translation of capped mRNAs [97]. However, another study suggests that m<sup>6</sup>Am may impede cap-dependent translation [98].

Several studies demonstrating the genome-wide landscape of m<sup>6</sup>A and m<sup>6</sup>Am have been conducted, which provide reliable evidence for their relationship [103-105]. The conserved m<sup>6</sup>Am signals can be detected in WTAP and ALKBH5, while the non-conserved m6Am signals can be identified in METTL3. Additionally, the non-conserved m6A signals can be found in PCIF1 [105]. Furthermore, FTO has been demonstrated to target m<sup>6</sup>Am. Functionally, FTO is responsible for the demethylation of m<sup>6</sup>Am in snRNA [37]. Nevertheless, additional functional relevance of m6A and m6Am remains to be explored.

#### m<sup>6</sup>A and ncRNAs

m<sup>6</sup>A modification exists in almost all types of ncRNAs, especially in miRNAs, lncRNAs and circRNAs. They are all vigorous performers participating in extensive biological processes, particularly in tumor malignancy. The crosstalk of m<sup>6</sup>A and ncRNAs is pervasive and inspiring, extending the scope of epigenetics.

#### m<sup>6</sup>A-miRNA

miRNA is a short non-coding RNA (no more

than 22 nucleotides), and links to a variety of biological processes such as tumor growth, drug resistance, cell differentiation, and cellular senescence [106]. Initially, primary miRNA (pri-miRNA) is cleaved into precursor miRNA (pre-miRNA) by the microprocessor complex comprising of endonuclease Drosha and DGCR8 protein. After being transported to cytoplasm by exportin 5, pre-miRNA is further cleaved by Dicer to release the double-strands RNAs, which are then loaded onto an AGO protein constituting the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) [107].

Intriguingly, m<sup>6</sup>A is the mark for advancing the processing of pri-miRNAs [108]. METTL3 is sufficient to methylate massive pri-miRNAs to reinforce miRNA maturation through recruiting DGCR8 and m<sup>6</sup>A reader HNRNPA2B1 (Figure 3A). Moreover, HNRNPA2B1 interacts with DGCR8 to promote its binding to pri-miRNAs, which enhances the continuous generation of pri-miRNAs [53, 108]. There are plenty of illustrations about this regulatory pattern. In bladder cancer, METTL3 accelerates cell proliferation by promoting the maturation of pri-miR221/222 which targets at PTEN [109]. In CRC, METTL3 accounts for the aberrant m<sup>6</sup>A modification and boosts the production of mature miR-1246, which suppresses the SPRED/MAPK signaling [110]. Wang et al. clarify that up-regulation of METTL3 blocks oxidative stress and apoptosis in colistin-evoked



Figure 3. The functions and mechanisms of m<sup>6</sup>A modification on ncRNAs. (A) m<sup>6</sup>A promotes the maturation of miRNA. (B) m<sup>6</sup>A modulates lncRNA level. (C) m<sup>6</sup>A facilitates lncRNA to combine with miRNA. (D) m<sup>6</sup>A interferes the binding of lncRNA to proteins. (E) m<sup>6</sup>A mediates the cytoplasmic export of circRNA. (F) m<sup>6</sup>A regulates circRNA translation. (G) m<sup>6</sup>A assists the innate immune system to recognize self circRNA.

nephrotoxicity via the promotion of miR-873-5p mature process and the regulation of Keap1-Nrf2 pathway [111]. Besides, mimicking the function of Dicer, METTL3-mediated m6A methylation leads to the splicing of pre-miR-143-3p which impairs VASH1 expression to facilitate angiogenesis and metastasis of lung cancers [112]. Moreover, METTL3 increases the m<sup>6</sup>A modification of pre-miR-320 and drives osteogenic differentiation of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells [113]. Another study demonstrates that the maturation of miR-7212-5p is impelled by METTL3-mediated m6A modification, while the miR-7212-5p/FGFR3 axis accounts for the regulation of osteoblast differentiation and fracture healing [114]. In addition, an interesting study shows that CSC activates the excessive miR-25-3p maturation dependent on m6A mechanism in pancreatic cancer [64]. The enhancement of METTL3 triggered by CSC contributes to the up-regulation of m6A level on pri-miR-25. Then NKAP serves as not only the m6A reader but also a splicing factor to stimulate the processing of pri-miR-25. Accumulating miR-25-3p suppresses PHLPP2, leading to the activation of AKT-p70S6K signaling [64]. Except for METTL3, another m<sup>6</sup>A writer METTL14 also modulates the maturation of miRNAs analogously. As a suppressor in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), METTL14 interacts with DGCR8 to promote the processing of pri-miR-126 via an m6A-dependent pattern, triggering the enhanced level of miR-126 which represses the tumor metastasis [115].

Now that m6A is frequently enriched in 3' UTRs (near stop codons), and miRNA binding sites on mRNA are also commonly observed within 3' UTRs, the relationship between m<sup>6</sup>A and miRNA binding is discussed. However, an inverse localization pattern is identified [10]. One reasonable explanation is that moderate spatial distance may be beneficial for mutual effects between m<sup>6</sup>A and miRNA. Actually, deficiency of m6A caused by loss of METTL3 or METTL14 restrains the miRNA-mRNA interaction as well as boosts HuR-mRNA interaction, which finally stabilizes the corresponding transcript [116]. A more vivid example is provided by Zhang et al. [117]. The m<sup>6</sup>A residue is found in the 3'UTR of YAP (353-357), and this modification is crucial for the conjugation of miR-582-3p and YAP. Hence, m<sup>6</sup>A modification may trigger the binding of miRNAs and targeted genes.

Additionally, AGO2 mRNA is highly methylated and positively modulated by m<sup>6</sup>A methyltransferases in human diploid fibroblasts. The miRNA abundance is controlled by m<sup>6</sup>A level based on the stability of AGO2 [118]. Knuckles et al. propose a model to delineate the RNA fate determined by m<sup>6</sup>A and microprocessor [119]. In normal temperature, METTL3-centered complex deposits the m<sup>6</sup>A labels to massive RNAs containing mRNAs, pri-miRNAs, lncRNAs and snoRNAs, followed by the induction of their degradation mediated by DGCR8. However, acute heat stress leads to the re-localization of the m<sup>6</sup>A complex and DGCR8 at heat-shock genes to facilitate their decay. Meanwhile, those transcripts previously modulated by METTL3 and DGCR8 accumulate. This is an indirect fashion of m<sup>6</sup>A to control the degradation of miRNAs or other ncRNAs.

#### miRNA-m<sup>6</sup>A

A bidirectional relationship exists between miRNAs and m6A because miRNAs can regulate m6A-related events as well. Dicer, but not AGO protein, mediates the formation of m6A without altering the amount of methyltransferases or demethylases, and it may modulate nuclear speckle localization of METTL3 [120]. miRNAs are able to trigger de novo m<sup>6</sup>A methylation through a sequence pairing pattern. Moreover, miRNAs are responsible for the manipulation of the binding of METTL3 to miRNA site-containing mRNAs to affect m6A abundance, which is tightly associated with cell reprogramming to pluripotency [120]. In HCC, miR-145 governs m6A level by inhibiting the expression of YTHDF2 [121]. METTL3 is targeted by miR-186, and it activates Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling in hepatoblastoma [122]. miRNA let-7g which is inhibited by HBXIP, attenuates the expression of METTL3. Simultaneously, HBXIP is activated by METTL3 in an m<sup>6</sup>A-dependent manner [123]. The positive feedback loop elaborates the complicated connection between miRNA and m<sup>6</sup>A.

#### m<sup>6</sup>A-IncRNA

LncRNAs are a group of non-coding transcripts longer than 200 nucleotides. The functions of IncRNAs are diverse, including regulating chromatin topology, serving as scaffolding for proteins or RNAs, governing RNA stabilization and transcription, or even producing peptides [124]. LncRNAs can be modulated multiple levels via containing regulation, transcriptional post-transcriptional processing and degradation control [125]. Importantly, the interaction between lncRNAs and m<sup>6</sup>A modification is a novel annotation (Figure 3B-D). Xiao et al. have generated the whole-transcriptome m<sup>6</sup>A landscape of human fetal tissues. Numerous IncRNAs are methylated by m<sup>6</sup>A especially in kidney, placenta and brain. Enhancer lncRNAs (originated from enhancers) have a higher enrichment in m6A modification compared with other lncRNAs. The distribution of m6A on lncRNAs is nearly balanced among 5'UTR, CDS and 3'UTR, which is different

from the distribution on mRNA. Meanwhile, the proportion of m<sup>6</sup>A methylation on lncRNAs is lower than on mRNAs [66].

Metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (MALAT1) is a highly conserved nuclear lncRNA which is closely related to the metastasis of tumors [126]. As an abundant and essential transcript, MALAT1 is a paradigm to describe m6A-participated modification of lncRNAs. It is reported that m<sup>6</sup>A-modified MALAT1 (at A2577) is adequate for the binding of HNRNPC, one of the m6A readers which is essential for pre-mRNA processing [41]. Similarly, m<sup>6</sup>A methylation (at A2515) increases the accessibility of MALAT1 for RNA-binding protein (RBP) through exposing its purine-rich sequences. Then HNRNPG, which governs gene expression and alternative splicing, binds to MALAT1 using its low-complexity region [42]. In addition, the putative m6A writer METTL16 can interact with the U6 snRNA, pre-mRNAs and lncRNAs, such as MALAT1 [31]. To be specific, the 3' triple helix domain of MALAT1 is the binding site of METTL16 [127]. Jin et al. demonstrate that METTL3-guided m6A modulation contributes to the elevated expression of MALAT1 with the support of YTHDF3 in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [128]. Then MALAT1 sponges miR-1914-3p to increase YAP activity and strengthen the metastatic potential of NSCLC.

XIST is another well-characterized mammalian IncRNA. It is the master regulator of X-chromosome inactivation (XCI), a dosage compensation process to balance X-linked gene expression via the suppression of transcription [129]. Moindrot et al. employ a pooled shRNA screen to reveal that WTAP is one of key factors for XIST-mediated silencing, which co-localizes with XIST RNA in nuclear perichromatin [130]. following spaces Moreover, a study demonstrates that XIST is heavily m6A methylated, and highlights the role of m<sup>6</sup>A modification in XIST-dependent transcriptional silencing [28]. WTAP and METTL3 can be recruited by RBM15/15B to achieve the m<sup>6</sup>A modification on XIST. Then m6A-marked XIST is recognized by the reader YTHDC1, which promotes XIST-mediated gene inhibition [28]. In addition, SPEN is a vital orchestrator of XCI by binding to XIST. The SPOC domain of SPEN is clarified to be involved in the recruitment of m<sup>6</sup>A machinery to XIST [131]. Nevertheless, Nesterova et al. have conducted the systematic allelic analysis of XIST-mediated suppression in two interspecific mice models, and put forward the viewpoint that RBM15-centered m6A complex may provide minor contribution to this type of gene silencing [132]. The possible causes for different consequences might rely on the redundancy

of m<sup>6</sup>A modification and the various approaches to assay transcriptional inhibition. Although whether m<sup>6</sup>A can indeed control XIST-guided silencing is controversial, METTL14/YTHDF2 axis is feasible for regulating the stability of XIST [133]. Therefore, the sophisticated m<sup>6</sup>A-XIST interaction deserves further explorations.

Actually, there are many other m<sup>6</sup>A-bearing IncRNAs which have been reported in multiple tumors with the major mechanisms of RNA stability regulation. The lncRNA FAM225A is overexpressed in nasopharyngeal carcinoma, and m6A modification is identified on FAM225A enhancing its RNA stability [134]. In HCC, METTL3-mediated modulation contributes to the stabilization of LINC00958 which intensifies the HCC lipogenesis [135]. Similarly, LNCAROD is up-regulated by METTL3/METTL14 in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) and impels its tumorigenicity through preventing YBX1 from degradation [136]. VIRMA induces aggressive phenotype of prostate cancer through sustaining m<sup>6</sup>A levels and abundance of the oncogenic lncRNAs CCAT1/2 [137]. DANCR, initially recognized as an anti-differentiation lncRNA, is strengthened by IGF2BP2 based on an m6A-modified site, and boosts stemness like properties of pancreatic cancer [52]. ALKBH5-guided m<sup>6</sup>A demethylation enhances the expression of PVT1 with the assistance of YTHDF2 in osteosarcoma [138]. In epithelial ovarian cancer, METTL3 increases the level of RHPN1-AS1 [139]. Besides, there are several studies about m6A-related lncRNAs in CRC. RP11 promotes the dissemination of CRC cells by regulating the epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT). Specifically, the expression of RP11 is collaboratively regulated by METTL3 and ALKBH5. Elevated RP11 recruits the hnRNPA2B1 which recognizes and stabilizes the mRNAs of Siah1 and Fbxo45, thereby preventing the Siah1 and Fbxo45-dependent proteasomal degradation of Zeb1 [140]. Moreover, a study demonstrates a regulatory loop between lncRNA and m6A. LncRNA GAS5 combines with the WW domain of YAP and promotes YAP degradation via modulating its nucleo-cytoplasmic translocation. During CRC tumorigenesis, the m<sup>6</sup>A reader YTHDF3 interacts with m6A-modified GAS5 and promotes its decay, thus inhibiting the degradation of YAP. Accumulating YAP further activates the transcription of YTHDF3. This is a complicated but intriguing negative feedback loop between m6A and lncRNA [141].

Furthermore, other regulatory layers contain the m<sup>6</sup>A-mediated translation and the RBP-binding of lncRNA. *LINC00278* is an m<sup>6</sup>A-methylated transcript regulated by METTL3/METTL14/WTAP and

ALKBH5. Studies have revealed that LINC00278 encodes a tumor-suppressing micropeptide called YY1BM, which suppresses the combination of YY1 and androgen receptor, rendering ESCC cells more sensitive to nutrient deprivation. Mechanistically, m<sup>6</sup>A modification promotes the translation of YY1BM via a YTHDF1-dependent manner [65]. pncRNA-D is an irradiation-triggered lncRNA which interacts with RBP TLS/FUS. The interaction of *pncRNA-D* with TLS is associated with CCND1 inhibition. METTL3 is responsible for the half-time of m6A-methylated pncRNA-D. YTHDC1 competitively inhibits the binding of *pncRNA-D* to TLS, thereby alleviating TLS-mediated suppression of CCND1. The decrease of m6A modification leads to a G0/G1 arrest in the cell cycle relying on CCND1 [142].

In addition to tumorigenesis, m<sup>6</sup>A-lncRNA interaction is involved in other cellular procedures as well. Yang et al. find that linc1281 is indispensable for differentiation. appropriate mouse ESC The METTL3-dependent m6A mark in the last exon of linc1281 is responsible for not only its functional roles, but also the interactions with pluripotency-related miRNAs [143]. For immune homeostasis regulation, m6A-modified lnc-Dpf3 controls the migration of dendritic cell (DC). It is well-accepted that although rapid DC migration is vital for initiation of immune defense, timely cessation of its trafficking is also indispensable for the avoidance of excessive inflammation. In the early stage, CCR7-mediated DC migration accelerates in response to CCL19/CCL21. However, during the late stage, CCR7 stimulation triggers the expression of lnc-Dpf3 by removing its m6A methylation and protecting it from YTHDF2mediated degradation. Then Inc-Dpf3 binds to HIF-1a to suppress the HIF1a-dependent glycolysis and the migratory capacity of DC [144].

#### IncRNA-m<sup>6</sup>A

Apart from the m<sup>6</sup>A-lncRNA interaction, IncRNA is able to impact the m<sup>6</sup>A methylation as well. In CRC, LINRIS maintains the stability of the m6A blocking reader IGF2BP2 through its ubiquitination/autophagy-lysosome pathway, which facilitates MYC-mediated glycolysis [145]. Moreover, the antisense lncRNA may reinforce the interaction of parent transcripts (mature or nascent) with m6A enzymes to control gene expression. For example, the up-regulation of ARHGAP5 is associated with chemoresistance in gastric cancer. In the nucleus, ARHGAP5-AS1 enhances the transcription of ARHGAP5 by binding to its promoter. Furthermore, ARHGAP5-AS1 can recruit METTL3 in the nucleus to induce the elevated m6A modification on ARHGAP5 mRNA, eventually facilitating the stability of ARHGAP5 [146]. Similarly, GAS5-AS1 enhances the stability of GAS5 by interacting with ALKBH5 which eliminates m<sup>6</sup>A modification in cervical cancer [147]. In addition, FOXM1-AS increases the binding of ALKBH5 to FOXM1 pre-mRNA in glioblastoma. ALKBH5-triggered demethylation impels the effects of RNA-binding protein HuR, contributing to the elevated level of FOXM1 [148]. GATA3-AS promotes the interaction of KIAA1429 with GATA3 pre-mRNA in HCC [149]. Recently, a study by Zhu et al. reveals another interesting regulatory mode. LncRNA LINC00266-1 can encode a small peptide which tightly interacts with IGF2BP1. The binding of peptide strengthens the recognition of IGF2BP1 on m6A-modified RNAs like c-Myc, further enhancing the stability of targets which are closely associated with CRC tumorigenesis [150].

#### m<sup>6</sup>A-circRNA

CircRNA is a species of covalently closed and evolutionally conservative circular transcript, mainly deriving from back-splicing of exons [151]. The structure of circRNA is quite stable. It is broadly expressed in various kinds of specimens via a cell or tissue-specific manner. CircRNA is extensively involved biological in processes, such developmental modulation, pathogenesis of heart diseases, chemoresistance and tumorigenesis [152]. It primarily functions as the sponge of miRNAs (ceRNA), as well as participates in the interaction with protein, transcription, splicing regulation, and even the non-canonical translation [153].

The information of m6A-modified circRNAs is finite but attractive. Zhou et al. have established a genome-wide map of m6A-circRNAs in hESCs and Hela cells, and revealed the cell-type-specific patterns of m<sup>6</sup>A modification on circRNAs [153]. There are several features about m6A-circRNAs. For example, circRNAs containing long single exons instead of multi-exons are more likely to be modified by m<sup>6</sup>A. m<sup>6</sup>A-circRNAs are commonly generated from those exons without m6A peaks in mRNAs. Like mRNAs, circRNAs are methylated by METTL3 and recognized by YTHDF1/YTHDF2 [154]. Park et al. prove that both linear and circular m6A-marked RNAs can be edited by the YTHDF2-HRSP12-RNase P/MRP axis [155]. CircNSUN2 is an m<sup>6</sup>A-methylated circRNA which promotes the liver metastasis of CRC patients. The m<sup>6</sup>A motif "GAACU" on circNSUN2 is recognized by YTHDC1, which enhances circNSUN2 export from nucleus to cytoplasm (Figure 3E) [156].

It is inspiring to observe that circRNAs possess widespread m<sup>6</sup>A modification, which is adequate to drive protein synthesis with even a single m<sup>6</sup>A site. This cap-independent translation requires the assistance of eIF4G2 and YTHDF3 [157, 158]. As expected, the translation can be abolished by FTO, while enhanced by METTL3 or METTL14 [157]. Besides, circE7 is identified as an m6A-marked, cytoplasmatic and polysomes-associated circRNA. E7 oncoprotein is produced from the translation of circE7 human papillomavirus, while the mutation of possible m<sup>6</sup>A motifs strongly suppresses E7 protein expression [159]. Timoteo et al. reveal that METTL3 regulates the m6A levels while YTHDC1 impacts the back-splicing of circRNAs. The cooperation of METTL3 and YTHDC1 regulates the biogenesis of various circRNAs including circ-ZNF609 which is translatable. Moreover, YTHDF3 and eIF4G2 recognize circ-ZNF609 to regulate its translation (Figure 3F) [158]. Tang et al. identify that spermiogenesis-related approximately half of circRNAs are created via the back-splicing at m6A-enriched sites in linear mRNAs where start and stop codons are usually located. The outcome is that these circRNAs embrace m6A-accociated open reading frames (ORFs) in their junctions, which reveals the novel role of m6A in coding-circRNAs biogenesis [160]. These results enrich the m6A-based non-canonical functions of circRNAs.

In addition, m<sup>6</sup>A-circRNAs are also involved in the immunoregulation and environmental stress response (Figure 3G). Foreign circRNAs, instead of self-counterparts, are efficient to trigger T cell activation and antitumor immunity in vivo. The m6A methylation patterns of exogenous and endogenous circRNAs are quite distinct. Mechanistically, unmodified foreign circRNAs heavily stimulate MAVS polymerization and interferon production after the RIG-I recognition. Nevertheless, m6A modification impairs activation of immune genes induced by endogenous circRNAs to prevent aberrant responses, which means that m<sup>6</sup>A can be the identity for self circRNAs. YTHDF2 is required for the suppression of circRNA-mediated innate immune signaling [161]. Intriguingly, a transcriptome-wide profiling of m6A-circRNAs is revealed based on the hypoxia mediated pulmonary hypertension (HPH) model. The m<sup>6</sup>A abundance of circRNAs is diminished but its expression is increased in hypoxia. m6A-circRNAs are predominantly derived from transcripts spanned encoding single exons. Furthermore, the network of circRNA/miRNA/ mRNA is also regulated by m6A in HPH. CircXpo6 and circTmtc3 are both m6A-modified and then down-regulated in HPH [162].

#### circRNA-m<sup>6</sup>A

However, studies about the functions of circRNAs on m<sup>6</sup>A modifications are rare. Recently, a

study has revealed the role of circRNA-modulated m<sup>6</sup>A machinery in major depressive disorder (MDD) [163]. CircSTAG1 is down-regulated in MDD animal models or patients with MDD. CircSTAG1 has the capacity to capture ALKBH5 to reduce its translocation into the nucleus. Then m6A modification enhanced, which results in an increased is degradation of fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) mRNA and a subsequent decrease in depressive-like behaviors, as well as astrocyte loss. In short, circSTAG1 ameliorates MDD through inhibiting the translocation of ALKBH5 and then augmenting m6A levels of FAAH mRNA. Further researches should be conducted to elucidate the complex interactions between circRNA and m6A.

### The potential clinical values of m<sup>6</sup>A-centered epigenetic modifications

Nowadays, it is generally believed that epigenetic regulations exert a crucial role in the pathogenesis of various diseases. Therefore, exploring the possible pharmaceutical agents targeting epigenetic modifications seems to be a promising therapeutic strategy. For example, it is reported that DNA methyltransferase inhibitor (DNMTi), 5-Aza-2'deoxycytidine, is able to enhance immunotherapy in esophageal carcinoma by promoting the expression of MAGE-A11 [164]. Moreover, histone deacetylase inhibitor (HDACi) MPT0B291 is capable of suppressing glioma growth partially via facilitating the acetylation of p53 [165]. Interestingly, the synergistic effects on treatment by combining multiple types of epigenetic inhibitors are widely reported [166-168].

In addition, inhibitors based on m6A-related enzymes have been actively investigated. However, current studies mostly focus on FTO, instead of m6A methyltransferases or m6A-binding proteins. As a highly selective inhibitor of FTO, meclofenamic acid 2 (MA2) can dramatically suppress the growth and self-renewal of GSC [169, 170]. Chen et al. reveal that R-2HG can inhibit FTO and lead to the decreased stability of MYC and CEBPA, thereby impairing the proliferation of leukemia cells [171]. There are other small molecule drugs targeting FTO that exerted substantial inhibitory effects in tumors, such as FTO-04 [172] and FB23-2 [173]. Moreover, FTO inhibitors participate in the immunotherapy as well. In melanoma, FTO repression promotes tumor growth and increases the response of cancer to anti-PD-1 blockade [174]. Analogously, the freshly recognized inhibitor of ALKBH5, ALK-04, is capable of reinforcing the efficacy of anti-PD-1 therapy [175]. Furthermore, two series of adenine derivatives is identified as the selective inhibitors of METTL3, in

spite of their elusive roles in clinical applications [176].

Notably, the intricate crosstalk between m<sup>6</sup>A and other epigenetic modifiers is tightly involved in tumor progression as mentioned above. Therefore, abolishing these interplay in human cancers may be the meaningful therapeutic perspective. For example, in gastric cancer, p300-guided H3K27ac modification can trigger the transcription of METTL3, eventually facilitating the malignancy of tumor [73]. Perhaps, combination of HDACi and METTL3 inhibitors may become the feasible approach to interrupt the progression of gastric cancer. In addition, METTL3 mediates the m6A level of MALAT1 to increase its stability, which results in the drug resistance and metastasis of NSCLC [64]. Possibly, suppressing the activity of METTL3 to regulate lncRNA levels may enhance the sensitive of NSCLC to cisplatin. Moreover, the diminished DNA methylation triggers the enhancement of METTL3, which further induces the maturation of pri-miR-25, promoting the development of pancreatic cancer [128]. It is inspiring to try the combined treatment of DNMTi and METTL3 inhibitors to collapse the vicious axis of DNA methylation/m<sup>6</sup>A/ncRNA in this terrible cancer.

Nevertheless, all these ideas remain theoretical owing that investigations about drugs targeting at the crosstalk of m<sup>6</sup>A and other modifications are quite rare. The potential clinical values remind us that further explorations are urgently required.

#### Conclusion

m<sup>6</sup>A RNA methylation, which is a new trajectory of epigenetic modification, has increasingly attracted the attention of researchers over the last few years. Studies have revealed that m6A plays a crucial role in RNA metabolism, such as degradation, alternative splicing, and translation. In addition, the interactions of m<sup>6</sup>A and targeted RNAs exert great influence on various biological processes, particularly in tumorigenesis. Meanwhile, accumulating evidence has deciphered the interplay between m6A and other epigenetic modulators (DNA methylation, chromatin remodeling, histone modification, RNA modification and ncRNAs), further unveiling the mysteries of epigenetic reprogramming.

Briefly, m<sup>6</sup>A and DNA methylation may exhibit a cooperative relationship, which relies on the interaction between m<sup>6</sup>A demethylase and DNA demethylase. In chromatin remodeling, m<sup>6</sup>A writers or readers are able to regulate the expression of chromatin-related RNAs, thus accommodating the chromatin state. However, there is still a dearth of information regarding the two crosstalk. For example, whether the regulatory loop between m<sup>6</sup>A and 6mA DNA methylation is available deserves further explorations. Notably, the complicated links between m6A and histone modification gradually emerge. m6A methylation modulates the status of histone methylation or acetylation, while histone modification also intends to affect the expression of m6A-related genes. The co-transcriptional regulation expounds the accurate deposition of m6A and histone modification, which determines the precise control of bioprocesses. Furthermore, m6A not only controls the level of other RNA modifications such as m5C and A-to-I editing, but also collaborates with them to govern multiple physiological processes. These shed light on the reciprocal associations of m6A and other RNA modifications and pave the way to further comprehend other types of RNA modifications. There is also a close relationship between m6A modification and ncRNAs, including miRNAs, lncRNAs and circRNAs. In most cancers, m6A machinery plays a promoting or suppressive role through altering the expression of targeted ncRNAs. In turn, ncRNAs regulate the stability and expression of m6A-assciated enzymes. It breaks the stereotype of ncRNAs and opens up a new paradigm for exploring the potential roles of ncRNAs. Nevertheless, the crosstalk between m<sup>6</sup>A methylation and circRNAs has not been clearly elucidated, particularly the function of circRNAs on m<sup>6</sup>A regulation.

between m<sup>6</sup>A Generally, interactions modification and other epigenetic members actively participate in the progression of tumors. These crosstalk can not only serve as the essential biomarkers for cancers, but also provide insightful mechanisms to develop the promising therapeutic strategies. Admittedly, these findings are only the tip of the iceberg. In the future, firstly, abundant efforts are still required to uncover more underlying roles of the interplay among these epigenetic modifiers and reach the deeper understanding of epigenetics in cancers. Secondly, it is imperative to explore potential remedies targeting at these interactions to reverse the erroneous epigenetic remodeling and reshape the balance. To be specific, perhaps the combination of m6A enzymes inhibitors and other modifiers inhibitors (DNMTi, HDACi, etc.) deserve validations in multiple tumors. It may be more attracting to directly target at the crosstalk instead of the modification itself. Moreover, it is noteworthy that the associations between FTO and other modifications are poorly investigated. FTO is the most unambiguous drug target with several selective inhibitors. Clarifying the mystery of crosstalk between FTO and other epigenetic members might guide to improve treatment efficiency of cancers.

#### Abbreviations

ncRNA: non-coding RNA; miRNA: microRNA; IncRNA: long non-coding RNA; circRNA: circular RNA; m<sup>6</sup>A: N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine; m<sup>5</sup>C: 5-methylcvtosine: A-to-I: adenosine into inosine: m6Am: N6, 2'-O-dimethyladenosine; METTL3: methyltransferaselike 3; METTL14: methyltransferase-like 14; WTAP: Wilms tumor 1-associated protein; VIRMA: vir-like m<sup>6</sup>A methyltransferase associated; CBLL1: Cbl proto-oncogene like 1; RBM15: RNA-binding motif protein 15; ZC3H13: zinc finger CCCH domaincontaining protein 13; FTO: fat mass and obesityassociated; ALKBH5: alkB homolog 5; YTH: YT521-B homology; YTHDFs: YTH domain family proteins; YTHDCs: YTH domain containing proteins; IGF2BPs: insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA-binding proteins; HNRNPs: heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins; carRNA: chromosome-associated regulatory RNA; CRC: colorectal cancer; HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma; NSCLC: non-small cell lung cancer.

#### **Competing Interests**

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

#### References

- Katada S, Imhof A, Sassone-Corsi P. Connecting threads: epigenetics and metabolism. Cell. 2012; 148: 24-8.
- Guttman M, Rinn JL. Modular regulatory principles of large non-coding RNAs. Nature. 2012; 482: 339-46.
- Frye M, Harada BT, Behm M, He C. RNA modifications modulate gene expression during development. Science. 2018; 361: 1346-9.
- Xiang JF, Yang Q, Liu CX, Wu M, Chen LL, Yang L. N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosines modulate A-to-I RNA editing. Mol Cell. 2018; 69: 126-35.
- Li X, Zhu P, Ma S, Song J, Bai J, Sun F, et al. Chemical pulldown reveals dynamic pseudouridylation of the mammalian transcriptome. Nat Chem Biol. 2015; 11: 592-7.
- Legrand C, Tuorto F, Hartmann M, Liebers R, Jacob D, Helm M, et al. Statistically robust methylation calling for whole-transcriptome bisulfite sequencing reveals distinct methylation patterns for mouse RNAs. Genome Res. 2017; 27: 1589-96.
- Ayadi L, Galvanin A, Pichot F, Marchand V, Motorin Y. RNA ribose methylation (2'-O-methylation): Occurrence, biosynthesis and biological functions. Biochim Biophys Acta Gene Regul Mech. 2019; 1862: 253-69.
- Desrosiers R, Friderici K, Rottman F. Identification of methylated nucleosides in messenger RNA from Novikoff hepatoma cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1974; 71: 3971-5.
- Wei CM, Gershowitz A, Moss B. Methylated nucleotides block 5' terminus of HeLa cell messenger RNA. Cell. 1975; 4: 379-86.
- Meyer KD, Saletore Y, Zumbo P, Elemento O, Mason CE, Jaffrey SR. Comprehensive analysis of mRNA methylation reveals enrichment in 3' UTRs and near stop codons. Cell. 2012; 149: 1635-46.
- Dominissini D, Moshitch-Moshkovitz S, Schwartz S, Salmon-Divon M, Ungar L, Osenberg S, et al. Topology of the human and mouse m6A RNA methylomes revealed by m6A-seq. Nature. 2012; 485: 201-6.
- Kane SE, Beemon K. Precise localization of m6A in Rous sarcoma virus RNA reveals clustering of methylation sites: implications for RNA processing. Mol Cell Biol. 1985; 5: 2298-306.
- Deng X, Su R, Weng H, Huang H, Li Z, Chen J. RNA N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine modification in cancers: current status and perspectives. Cell Res. 2018; 28: 507-17.
- Zhao BS, Roundtree IA, He C. Post-transcriptional gene regulation by mRNA modifications. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2017; 18: 31-42.
- Wang X, Zhao BS, Roundtree IA, Lu Z, Han D, Ma H, at al. N(6)-methyladenosine Modulates Messenger RNA Translation Efficiency. Cell. 2015; 161: 1388-99.
- Zhou J, wan J, Gao X, Zhang X, Jaffrey SR, Qian SB. Dynamic m(6)A mRNA methylation directs translational control of heat shock response. Nature. 2015; 526: 591-4.

- Xiao W, Adhikari S, Dahal U, Chen YS, Hao YJ, Sun BF, et al. Nuclear m(6)A Reader YTHDC1 Regulates mRNA Splicing. Mol Cell. 2016; 61: 507-19.
   Roundtree IA, Luo GZ, Zhang Z, Wang X, Zhou T, Cui Y, et al. YTHDC1
- Roundtree IA, Luo GZ, Zhang Z, Ŵang X, Zhou T, Cui Y, et al. YTHDC1 mediates nuclear export of N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine methylated mRNAs. Elife. 2017; 6: e31311.
- Atlasi Y, Stunnenberg HG. The interplay of epigenetic marks during stem cell differentiation and development. Nat Rev Genet. 2017; 18: 643-58.
- Ma S, Chen C, Ji X, Liu J, Zhou Q, Wang G, et al. The interplay between m6A RNA methylation and noncoding RNA in cancer. J Hematol Oncol. 2019; 12: 121.
- Wang Y, Li Y, Yue M, Wang J, Kumar S, Wechsler-Reya RJ, et al. N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine RNA modification regulates embryonic neural stem cell self-renewal through histone modifications. Nat Neurosci. 2018; 21: 195-206.
- Liu J, Do X, Chen C, Chen C, Liu C, Xu MM, et al. N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine of chromosome-associated regulatory RNA regulates chromatin state and transcription. Science. 2020; 367: 580-6.
- Liu J, Yue Y, Han D, Wang X, Fu Y, Zhang L, et al. A METTL3-METTL14 complex mediates mammalian nuclear RNA N6-adenosine methylation. Nat Chem Biol. 2014; 10: 93-5.
- Wang X, Feng J, Xue Y, Guan Z, Zhang D, Liu Z, et al. Structural basis of N(6)-adenosine methylation by the METTL3-METTL14 complex. Nature. 2016; 534: 575-8.
- Sledz P, Jinek M. Structural insights into the molecular mechanism of the m(6)A writer complex. Elife. 2016; 5: e18434.
- Ping XL, Sun BF, Wang L, Xiao W, Yang X, Wang WJ, et al. Mammalian WTAP is a regulatory subunit of the RNA N6-methyladenosine methyltransferase. Cell Res. 2014; 24: 177-89.
- Yue Y, Liu J, Cui X, Cao J, Luo G, Zhang Z, et al. VIRMA mediates preferential m<sup>6</sup>A mRNA methylation in 3' UTR and near stop codon and associates with alternative polyadenylation. Cell Discov. 2018; 4: 10.
- Patil DP, Chen CK, Pickering BF, Chow A, Jackson C, Guttman M, et al. m(6)A RNA methylation promotes XIST-mediated transcriptional repression. Nature. 2016; 537: 369-73.
- Wen J, Lv R, Ma H, Shen H, He C, Wang J, et al. Zc3h13 Regulates Nuclear RNA m(6)A Methylation and Mouse Embryonic Stem Cell Self-Renewal. Mol Cell. 2018; 69: 1028-38.
- Knuckles P, Lence T, Haussmann IU, Jacob D, Kreim N, Carl SH, et al. Zc3h13/Flacc is required for adenosine methylation by bridging the mRNA-binding factor Rbm15/Spenito to the m<sup>6</sup>A machinery component Wtap/Fl(2)d. Genes Dev. 2018; 32: 415-29.
- Warda AS, Kretschmer J, Hackert P, Lenz C, Urlaub H, Hoebartner C, et al. Human METTL16 is a N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine (m<sup>6</sup>A) methyltransferase that targets pre-mRNAs and various non-coding RNAs. EMBO Rep. 2017; 18: 2004-14.
- Pendleton KE, Chen B, Liu K, Hunter OV, Xie Y, Tu BP, et al. The U6 snRNA m<sup>6</sup>A Methyltransferase METTL16 Regulates SAM Synthetase Intron Retention. Cell. 2017; 169: 824-35.
- Nhan Van T, Ernst FGM, Hawley BR, Zorbas C, Ulryck N, Hackert P, et al. The human 18S rRNA m6A methyltransferase METTL5 is stabilized by TRMT112. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019; 47: 7719-33.
- Ma H, Wang X, Cai J, Dai Q, Natchiar SK, Lv R, et al. N<sup>6</sup>-Methyladenosine methyltransferase ZCCHC4 mediates ribosomal RNA methylation. Nat Chem Biol. 2019; 15: 88-94.
- Jia G, Fu Y, Zhao X, Dai Q, Zheng G, Yang Y, et al. N6-Methyladenosine in nuclear RNA is a major substrate of the obesity-associated FTO. Nat Chem Biol. 2011; 7: 885-7.
- Zheng G, Dahl JA, Niu Y, Fedorcsak P, Huang C-M, Li CJ, et al. ALKBH5 is a mammalian RNA demethylase that impacts RNA metabolism and mouse fertility. Mol Cell. 2013; 49: 18-29.
- Wei J, Liu F, Lu Z, Fei Q, Ai Y, He PC, et al. Differential m<sup>6</sup>A, m<sup>6</sup>A(m), and m<sup>1</sup>A Demethylation Mediated by FTO in the Cell Nucleus and Cytoplasm. Mol Cell. 2018; 71: 973-85.
- Shi H, Wang X, Lu Z, Zhao BS, Ma H, Hsu PJ, et al. YTHDF3 facilitates translation and decay of N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine-modified RNA. Cell Res. 2017; 27: 315-28.
- Mao Y, Dong L, Liu XM, Guo J, Ma H, Shen B, et al. m<sup>6</sup>A in mRNA coding regions promotes translation via the RNA helicase-containing YTHDC2. Nat Commun. 2019; 10: 5332.
- Huang H, Weng H, Sun W, Qin X, Shi H, Wu H, et al. Recognition of RNA N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine by IGF2BP proteins enhances mRNA stability and translation. Nat Cell Biol. 2018; 20: 285-95.
- Liu N, Dai Q, Zheng G, He C, Parisien M, Pan T. N(6)-methyladenosine-dependent RNA structural switches regulate RNA-protein interactions. Nature. 2015; 518: 560-4.
- Liu N, Zhou KI, Parisien M, Dai Q, Diatchenko L, Pan T. N6-methyladenosine alters RNA structure to regulate binding of a low-complexity protein. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017; 45: 6051-63.
- Wu B, Su S, Patil DP, Liu H, Gan J, Jaffrey SR, et al. Molecular basis for the specific and multivariant recognitions of RNA substrates by human hnRNP A2/B1. Nat Commun. 2018; 9: 420.
- Du H, Zhao Y, He J, Zhang Y, Xi H, Liu M, et al. YTHDF2 destabilizes m(6)A-containing RNA through direct recruitment of the CCR4-NOT deadenylase complex. Nat Commun. 2016; 7: 12626.
- Zaccara S, Jaffrey SR. A Unified Model for the Function of YTHDF Proteins in Regulating m6A-Modified mRNA. Cell. 2020; 181: 1582-95.e18.

- Hsu PJ, Zhu Y, Ma H, Guo Y, Shi X, Liu Y, et al. Ythdc2 is an N6-methyladenosine binding protein that regulates mammalian spermatogenesis. Cell Res. 2017; 27: 1115-27.
- Zhou B, Liu C, Xu L, Yuan Y, Zhao J, Zhao W, et al. N6-methyladenosine reader protein Ythdc2 suppresses liver steatosis via regulation of mRNA stability of lipogenic genes. Hepatology. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.31220. [Online ahead of print].
- Muller S, Glass M, Singh AK, Haase J, Bley N, Fuchs T, et al. IGF2BP1 promotes SRF-dependent transcription in cancer in a m<sup>6</sup>A- and miRNA-dependent manner. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019; 47: 375-90.
- Wang S, Chim B, Su Y, Khil P, Wong M, Wang X, et al. Enhancement of LIN28B-induced hematopoietic reprogramming by IGF2BP3. Genes Dev. 2019; 33: 1048-68.
- Li T, Hu PS, Zuo Z, Lin JF, Li X, Wu QN, et al. METTL3 facilitates tumor progression via an m(6)A-IGF2BP2-dependent mechanism in colorectal carcinoma. Mol Cancer. 2019; 18: 112.
- Elcheva IA, Wood T, Chiarolanzio K, Chim B, Wong M, Singh V, et al. RNA-binding protein IGF2BP1 maintains leukemia stem cell properties by regulating HOXB4, MYB, and ALDH1A1. Leukemia. 2020; 34: 1354-63.
- Hu X, Peng WX, Zhou H, Jiang J, Zhou X, Huang D, et al. IGF2BP2 regulates DANCR by serving as an N6-methyladenosine reader. Cell Death Differ. 2020; 27: 1782-94.
- Alarcon CR, Goodarzi H, Lee H, Liu X, Tavazoie S, Tavazoie SF. HNRNPA2B1 is a mediator of m(6)A-dependent nuclear RNA processing events. Cell. 2015; 162: 1299-308.
- Meyer KD, Patil DP, Zhou J, Zinoviev A, Skabkin MA, Elemento O, et al. 5' UTR m(6)A promotes cap-independent translation. Cell. 2015; 163: 999-1010.
- Lin S, Choe J, Du P, Triboulet R, Gregory RI. The m(6)A Methyltransferase METTL3 Promotes Translation in Human Cancer Cells. Mol Cell. 2016; 62: 335-45.
- Chen K, Lu Z, Wang X, Fu Y, Luo G-Z, Liu N, et al. High-resolution N(6)-methyladenosine (m(6)A) map using photo-crosslinking-assisted m(6)A sequencing. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2015; 54: 1587-90.
- Greenberg MVC, Bourc'his D. The diverse roles of DNA methylation in mammalian development and disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2019; 20: 590-607.
- Jones PA, Takai D. The role of DNA methylation in mammalian epigenetics. Science. 2001; 293: 1068-70.
- Tahiliani M, Koh KP, Shen Y, Pastor WA, Bandukwala H, Brudno Y, et al. Conversion of 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in mammalian DNA by MLL partner TET1. Science. 2009; 324: 930-5.
- Ito S, Shen L, Dai Q, Wu SC, Collins LB, Swenberg JA, et al. Tet proteins can convert 5-methylcytosine to 5-formylcytosine and 5-carboxylcytosine. Science. 2011; 333: 1300-3.
- Xiao CL, Zhu S, He M, Chen D, Zhang Q, Chen Y, et al. N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenine DNA modification in the human genome. Mol Cell. 2018; 71: 306-18.
- Zhou L, Tian S, Qin G. RNA methylomes reveal the m<sup>6</sup>A-mediated regulation of DNA demethylase gene SIDML2 in tomato fruit ripening. Genome Biol. 2019; 20: 156.
- Chen YT, Shen JY, Chen DP, Wu CF, Guo R, Zhang PP, et al. Identification of cross-talk between m<sup>6</sup>A and 5mC regulators associated with onco-immunogenic features and prognosis across 33 cancer types. J Hematol Oncol. 2020; 13: 22.
- Zhang J, Bai R, Li M, Ye H, Wu C, Wang C, et al. Excessive miR-25-3p maturation via N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine stimulated by cigarette smoke promotes pancreatic cancer progression. Nat Commun. 2019; 10: 1858.
- Wu S, Zhang L, Deng J, Guo B, Li F, Wang Y, et al. A novel micropeptide encoded by Y-linked LINC00278 links cigarette smoking and AR signaling in male esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Res. 2020; 80: 2790-803.
- Xiao S, Cao S, Huang Q, Xia L, Deng M, Yang M, et al. The RNA N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine modification landscape of human fetal tissues. Nat Cell Biol. 2019; 21: 651-61.
- Xie Y, Castro-Hernandez R, Sokpor G, Linh P, Narayanan R, Rosenbusch J, et al. RBM15 modulates the function of chromatin remodeling factor BAF155 through RNA methylation in developing cortex. Mol Neurobiol. 2019; 56: 7305-20.
- Ke S, Pandya-Jones A, Saito Y, Fak JJ, Vagbo CB, Geula S, et al. m<sup>6</sup>A mRNA modifications are deposited in nascent pre-mRNA and are not required for splicing but do specify cytoplasmic turnover. Genes Dev. 2017; 31: 990-1006.
- 69. Zhao Z, Shilatifard A. Epigenetic modifications of histones in cancer. Genome Biol. 2019; 20: 245.
- Chen J, Zhang YC, Huang C, Shen H, Sun B, Cheng X, et al. m<sup>6</sup>A regulates neurogenesis and neuronal development by modulating histone methyltransferase Ezh2. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics. 2019; 17: 154-68.
- Kuppers DA, Arora S, Lim Y, Lim AR, Carter LM, Corrin PD, et al. N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine mRNA marking promotes selective translation of regulons required for human erythropoiesis. Nat Commun. 2019; 10: 4596.
- Wang L, Wen M, Cao X. Nuclear hnRNPA2B1 initiates and amplifies the innate immune response to DNA viruses. Science. 2019; 365: eaav0758.
- Wang Q, Chen C, Ding Q, Zhao Y, Wang Z, Chen J, et al. METTL3-mediated m<sup>6</sup>A modification of HDGF mRNA promotes gastric cancer progression and has prognostic significance. Gut. 2020; 69: 1193-205.

- Chen X, Xu M, Xu X, Zeng K, Liu X, Pan B, et al. METTL14-mediated N6-methyladenosine modification of SOX4 mRNA inhibits tumor metastasis in colorectal cancer. Mol Cancer. 2020; 19: 106.
- Huang H, Weng H, Zhou K, Wu T, Zhao BS, Sun M, et al. Histone H3 trimethylation at lysine 36 guides m<sup>6</sup>A RNA modification co-transcriptionally. Nature. 2019; 567: 414-9.
- Li Y, Xia L, Tan K, Ye X, Zuo Z, Li M, et al. N<sup>6</sup>-Methyladenosine co-transcriptionally directs the demethylation of histone H3K9me2. Nat Genet. 2020; 52: 870-7.
- Shim S, Lee HG, Lee H, Seo PJ. H3K36me2 is highly correlated with m<sup>6</sup>A modifications in plants. J Integr Plant Biol. 2020; 62: 1455-60.
- Zhang C, Jia G. Reversible RNA modification N<sup>1</sup>-methyladenosine (m<sup>1</sup>A) in mRNA and tRNA. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics. 2018; 16: 155-61.
- Liu F, Clark W, Luo G, Wang X, Fu Y, Wei J, et al. ALKBH1-Mediated tRNA Demethylation Regulates Translation. Cell. 2016; 167: 816-28.e16.
- Dai X, Wang T, Gonzalez G, Wang Y. Identification of YTH domain-containing proteins as the readers for N1-methyladenosine in RNA. Anal Chem. 2018; 90: 6380-4.
- Seo KW, Kleiner RE. YTHDF2 recognition of N<sup>1</sup>-Methyladenosine (m<sup>1</sup>A)-modified RNA is associated with transcript destabilization. ACS Chem Biol. 2020; 15: 132-9.
- Meyer KD. DART-seq: an antibody-free method for global m<sup>6</sup>A detection. Nat Methods. 2019; 16: 1275-80.
- Zhou H, Rauch S, Dai Q, Cui X, Zhang Z, Nachtergaele S, et al. Evolution of a reverse transcriptase to map N<sup>1</sup>-methyladenosine in human messenger RNA. Nat Methods. 2019; 16: 1281-8.
- Reid R, Greene PJ, Santi DV. Exposition of a family of RNA m(5)C methyltransferases from searching genomic and proteomic sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 1999; 27: 3138-45.
- Goll MG, Kirpekar F, Maggert KA, Yoder JA, Hsieh CL, Zhang X, et al. Methylation of tRNAAsp by the DNA methyltransferase homolog Dnmt2. Science. 2006; 311: 395-8.
- Trixl L, Lusser A. The dynamic RNA modification 5-methylcytosine and its emerging role as an epitranscriptomic mark. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2019; 10: e1510.
- Bohnsack KE, Höbartner C, Bohnsack MT. Eukaryotic 5-methylcytosine (m5C) RNA Methyltransferases: Mechanisms, Cellular Functions, and Links to Disease. Genes (Basel). 2019; 10: 102.
- Courtney DG, Chalem A, Bogerd HP, Law BA, Kennedy EM, Holley CL, et al. Extensive epitranscriptomic methylation of A and C residues on murine leukemia virus transcripts enhances viral gene expression. mBio. 2019; 10: e01209-19.
- Li Q, Li X, Tang H, Jiang B, Dou Y, Gorospe M, et al. NSUN2-mediated m5C methylation and METTL3/METTL14-mediated m6A methylation cooperatively enhance p21 translation. J Cell Biochem. 2017; 118: 2587-98.
- Dai X, Gonzalez G, Li L, Li J, You C, Miao W, et al. YTHDF2 binds to 5-methylcytosine in RNA and modulates the maturation of ribosomal RNA. Anal Chem. 2020; 92: 1346-54.
- Barbon A, Magri C. RNA Editing and Modifications in Mood Disorders. Genes (Basel). 2020; 11: 872.
- Nishikura K. A-to-I editing of coding and non-coding RNAs by ADARs. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2016; 17: 83-96.
- Chen X, Wan L, Wang W, Xi WJ, Yang AG, Wang T. Re-recognition of pseudogenes: From molecular to clinical applications. Theranostics. 2020; 10: 1479-99.
- Shang W, Gao Y, Tang Z, Zhang Y, Yang R. The pseudogene *Olfr29-ps1* promotes the suppressive function and differentiation of monocytic MDSCs. Cancer Immunol Res. 2019; 7: 813-27.
- Durbin AF, Wang C, Marcotrigiano J, Gehrke L. RNAs containing modified nucleotides fail to trigger RIG-I conformational changes for innate immune signaling. mBio. 2016; 7: e00833-16.
- Vaidyanathan PP, Alsadhan I, Merriman DK, Al-Hashimi HM, Herschlag D. Pseudouridine and N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine modifications weaken PUF protein/RNA interactions. RNA. 2017; 23: 611-8.
- Akichika S, Hirano S, Shichino Y, Suzuki T, Nishimasu H, Ishitani R, et al. Cap-specific terminal N<sup>6</sup>-methylation of RNA by an RNA polymerase II-associated methyltransferase. Science. 2019; 363: eaav0080.
- Sendinc E, Valle-Garcia D, Dhall A, Chen H, Henriques T, Navarrete-Perea J, et al. PCIF1 catalyzes m6Am mRNA methylation to regulate gene expression. Mol Cell. 2019; 75: 620-30.
- Sun H, Zhang M, Li K, Bai D, Yi C. Cap-specific, terminal N6-methylation by a mammalian m6Am methyltransferase. Cell Res. 2019; 29: 80-82.
- 100. Boulias K, Toczydłowska-Socha D, Hawley BR, Liberman N, Takashima K, Zaccara S, et al. Identification of the m(6)Am Methyltransferase PCIF1 Reveals the Location and Functions of m(6)Am in the Transcriptome. Mol Cell. 2019; 75: 631-43.e8.
- 101. Pandey RR, Delfino E, Homolka D, Roithova A, Chen KM, Li L, et al. The Mammalian Cap-Specific m(6)Am RNA Methyltransferase PCIF1 Regulates Transcript Levels in Mouse Tissues. Cell Rep. 2020; 32: 108038.
- Mauer J, Luo X, Blanjoie A, Jiao X, Grozhik AV, Patil DP, et al. Reversible methylation of m<sup>6</sup>Am in the 5' cap controls mRNA stability. Nature. 2017; 541: 371-5.
- Engel M, Eggert C, Kaplick PM, Eder M, Roeh S, Tietze L, et al. The role of m<sup>6</sup>A/m-RNA methylation in stress response regulation. Neuron. 2018; 99: 389-403.

- 104. Tan B, Liu H, Zhang S, da Silva SR, Zhang L, Meng J, et al. Viral and cellular N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine and N<sup>6</sup>, 2'-O-dimethyladenosine epitranscriptomes in the KSHV life cycle. Nat Microbiol. 2018; 3: 108-20.
- Liu Je, Li K, Cai J, Zhang M, Zhang X, Xiong X, et al. Landscape and regulation of m<sup>6</sup>A and m<sup>6</sup>Am methylome across human and mouse tissues. Mol Cell. 2020; 77: 426-40.
- Wang Y, Wang L, Chen C, Chu X. New insights into the regulatory role of microRNA in tumor angiogenesis and clinical implications. Mol Cancer. 2018; 17: 22.
- Gebert LFR, MacRae IJ. Regulation of microRNA function in animals. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2019; 20: 21-37.
- Alarcon CR, Lee H, Goodarzi H, Halberg N, Tavazoie SF. N6-methyladenosine marks primary microRNAs for processing. Nature. 2015; 519: 482-5.
- Han J, Wang JZ, Yang X, Yu H, Zhou R, Lu HC, et al. METTL3 promote tumor proliferation of bladder cancer by accelerating pri-miR221/222 maturation in m6A-dependent manner. Mol Cancer. 2019; 18: 110.
- Peng W, Li J, Chen R, Gu Q, Yang P, Qian W, et al. Upregulated METTL3 promotes metastasis of colorectal cancer via miR-1246/SPRED2/MAPK signaling pathway. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2019; 38: 393.
- 111. Wang J, Ishfaq M, Xu L, Xia C, Chen C, Li J. METTL3/m<sup>6</sup>A/miRNA-873-5p attenuated oxidative stress and apoptosis in colistin-induced kidney injury by modulating Keap1/Nrf2 pathway. Front Pharmacol. 2019; 10: 517.
- 112. Wang H, Deng Q, Lv Z, Ling Y, Hou X, Chen Z, et al. N6-methyladenosine induced miR-143-3p promotes the brain metastasis of lung cancer via regulation of VASH1. Mol Cancer. 2019; 18: 181.
- 113. Yan G, Yuan Y, He M, Gong R, Lei H, Zhou H, et al. m<sup>6</sup>A methylation of precursor-miR-320/RUNX2 controls osteogenic potential of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids. 2020; 19: 421-36.
- 114. Mi B, Xiong Y, Yan C, Chen L, Xue H, Panayi AC, et al. Methyltransferase-like 3-mediated N6-methyladenosine modification of miR-7212-5p drives osteoblast differentiation and fracture healing. J Cell Mol Med. 2020; 24: 6385-96.
- 115. Ma JZ, Yang F, Zhou CC, Liu F, Yuan JH, Wang F, et al. METTL14 suppresses the metastatic potential of hepatocellular carcinoma by modulating N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine-dependent primary microRNA processing. Hepatology. 2017; 65: 529-43.
- Wang Y, Li Y, Toth JI, Petroski MD, Zhang Z, Zhao JC. N6-methyladenosine modification destabilizes developmental regulators in embryonic stem cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2014; 16: 191-8.
- 117. Zhang X, Xu Y, Qian Z, Zheng W, Wu Q, Chen Y, et al. circRNA\_104075 stimulates YAP-dependent tumorigenesis through the regulation of HNF4a and may serve as a diagnostic marker in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cell Death Dis. 2018; 9: 1091.
- 118. Min KW, Zealy RW, Davila S, Fomin M, Cummings JC, Makowsky D, et al. Profiling of m6A RNA modifications identified an age-associated regulation of AGO2 mRNA stability. Aging Cell. 2018; 17: e12753.
- Knuckles P, Carl SH, Musheev M, Niehrs C, Wenger A, Buehler M. RNA fate determination through cotranscriptional adenosine methylation and microprocessor binding. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2017; 24: 561-9.
- 120. Chen T, Hao YJ, Zhang Y, Li MM, Wang M, Han W, et al. m(6)A RNA methylation is regulated by microRNAs and promotes reprogramming to pluripotency. Cell Stem Cell. 2015; 16: 289-301.
- 121. Yang Z, Li J, Feng G, Gao S, Wang Y, Zhang S, et al. MicroRNA-145 modulates N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine levels by targeting the 3'-untranslated mRNA region of the N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine binding YTH domain family 2 protein. J Biol Chem. 2017; 292: 3614-23.
- 122. Cui X, Wang Z, Li J, Zhu J, Ren Z, Zhang D, et al. Cross talk between RNA N6-methyladenosine methyltransferase-like 3 and miR-186 regulates hepatoblastoma progression through Wnt/β-catenin signalling pathway. Cell Prolif. 2020; 53: e12768.
- 123. Cai X, Wang X, Cao C, Gao Y, Zhang S, Yang Z, et al. HBXIP-elevated methyltransferase METTL3 promotes the progression of breast cancer via inhibiting tumor suppressor let-7g. Cancer Lett. 2018; 415: 11-9.
- Ransohoff JD, Wei Y, Khavari PA. The functions and unique features of long intergenic non-coding RNA. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2018; 19: 143-57.
- 125. Quinn JJ, Chang HY. Unique features of long non-coding RNA biogenesis and function. Nat Rev Genet. 2016; 17: 47-62.
- 126. Ji P, Diederichs S, Wang WB, Boing S, Metzger R, Schneider PM, et al. MALAT-1, a novel noncoding RNA, and thymosin beta 4 predict metastasis and survival in early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. Oncogene. 2003; 22: 8031-41.
- 127. Brown JA, Kinzig CG, DeGregorio SJ, Steitz JA. Methyltransferase-like protein 16 binds the 3'-terminal triple helix of MALAT1 long noncoding RNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016; 113: 14013-8.
- 128. Jin D, Guo J, Wu Y, Du J, Yang L, Wang X, et al. m<sup>6</sup>A mRNA methylation initiated by METTL3 directly promotes YAP translation and increases YAP activity by regulating the MALAT1-miR-1914-3p-YAP axis to induce NSCLC drug resistance and metastasis. J Hematol Oncol. 2019; 12: 135.
- 129. Gendrel AV, Heard E. Noncoding RNAs and epigenetic mechanisms during X-chromosome inactivation. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2014; 30: 561-80.
- 130. Moindrot B, Cerase A, Coker H, Masui O, Grijzenhout A, Pintacuda G, et al. A pooled shRNA screen identifies Rbm15, Spen, and Wtap as factors required for Xist RNA-mediated silencing. Cell Rep. 2015; 12: 562-72.

- Dossin F, Pinheiro I, Zylicz JJ, Roensch J, Collombet S, Le Saux A, et al. SPEN integrates transcriptional and epigenetic control of X-inactivation. Nature. 2020; 578: 455-60.
- 132. Nesterova TB, Wei G, Coker H, Pintacuda G, Bowness JS, Zhang T, et al. Systematic allelic analysis defines the interplay of key pathways in X chromosome inactivation. Nat Commun. 2019; 10: 3129.
- 133. Yang X, Zhang S, He C, Xue P, Zhang L, He Z, et al. METTL14 suppresses proliferation and metastasis of colorectal cancer by down-regulating oncogenic long non-coding RNA XIST. Mol Cancer. 2020; 19: 46.
- 134. Zheng ZQ, Li ZX, Zhou GQ, Lin L, Zhang LL, Lv JW, et al. Long noncoding RNA FAM225A promotes nasopharyngeal carcinoma tumorigenesis and metastasis by acting as ceRNA to sponge miR-590-3p/miR-1275 and upregulate ITGB3. Cancer Res. 2019; 79: 4612-26.
- 135. Zuo X, Chen Z, Gao W, Zhang Y, Wang J, Wang J, et al. M6A-mediated upregulation of LINC00958 increases lipogenesis and acts as a nanotherapeutic target in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hematol Oncol. 2020; 13: 5.
- 136. Ban Y, Tan P, Cai J, Li J, Hu M, Zhou Y, et al. LNCAROD is stabilized by m6A methylation and promotes cancer progression via forming a ternary complex with HSPA1A and YBX1 in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Mol Oncol. 2020; 14: 1282-96.
- 137. Barros-Silva D, Lobo J, Guimaraes-Teixeira C, Carneiro I, Oliveira J, Martens-Uzunova ES, et al. VIRMA-dependent N6-methyladenosine modifications regulate the expression of long non-coding RNAs CCAT1 and CCAT2 in prostate cancer. Cancers. 2020; 12: 771.
- Chen S, Zhou L, Wang Y. ALKBH5-mediated m<sup>6</sup>A demethylation of lncRNA PVT1 plays an oncogenic role in osteosarcoma. Cancer Cell Int. 2020; 20: 34.
- 139. Wang J, Ding W, Xu Y, Tao E, Mo M, Xu W, et al. Long non-coding RNA RHPN1-AS1 promotes tumorigenesis and metastasis of ovarian cancer by acting as a ceRNA against miR-596 and upregulating LETM1. Aging. 2020; 12: 4558-72.
- 140. Wu Y, Yang X, Chen Z, Tian L, Jiang G, Chen F, et al. m<sup>6</sup>A-induced lncRNA RP11 triggers the dissemination of colorectal cancer cells via upregulation of Zeb1. Mol Cancer. 2019; 18: 87.
- 141. Ni W, Yao S, Zhou Y, Liu Y, Huang P, Zhou A, et al. Long noncoding RNA GAS5 inhibits progression of colorectal cancer by interacting with and triggering YAP phosphorylation and degradation and is negatively regulated by the m<sup>6</sup>A reader YTHDF3. Mol Cancer. 2019; 18: 143.
- 142. Yoneda R, Ueda N, Uranishi K, Hirasaki M, Kurokawa R. Long noncoding RNA *pncRNA-D* reduces cyclin D1 gene expression and arrests cell cycle through RNA m<sup>6</sup>A modification. J Biol Chem. 2020; 295: 5626-39.
- 143. Yang D, Qiao J, Wang G, Lan Y, Li G, Guo X, et al. N6-Methyladenosine modification of lincRNA 1281 is critically required for mESC differentiation potential. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018; 46: 3906-20.
- 144. Liu J, Zhang X, Chen K, Cheng Y, Liu S, Xia M, et al. CCR7 chemokine receptor-inducible Inc-Dpf3 restrains dendritic cell migration by inhibiting HIF-1α-mediated glycolysis. Immunity. 2019; 50: 600-15.
- 145. Wang Y, Lu JH, Wu QN, Jin Y, Wang DS, Chen YX, et al. LncRNA LINRIS stabilizes IGF2BP2 and promotes the aerobic glycolysis in colorectal cancer. Mol Cancer. 2019; 18: 174.
- 146. Zhu L, Zhu Y, Han S, Chen M, Song P, Dai D, et al. Impaired autophagic degradation of IncRNA ARHGAP5-AS1 promotes chemoresistance in gastric cancer. Cell Death Dis. 2019; 10: 383.
- 147. Wang X, Zhang J, Wang Y. Long noncoding RNA GAS5-AS1 suppresses growth and metastasis of cervical cancer by increasing GAS5 stability. Am J Transl Res. 2019; 11: 4909-21.
- 148. Zhang S, Zhao BS, Zhou A, Lin K, Zheng S, Lu Z, et al. m<sup>6</sup>A demethylase ALKBH5 maintains tumorigenicity of glioblastoma stem-like cells by sustaining FOXM1 expression and cell proliferation program. Cancer Cell. 2017; 31: 591-606.
- 149. Lan T, Li H, Zhang D, Xu L, Liu H, Hao X, et al. KIAA1429 contributes to liver cancer progression through N6-methyladenosine-dependent post-transcriptional modification of GATA3. Mol Cancer. 2019; 18: 186.
- 150. Zhu S, Wang JZ, Chen D, He YT, Meng N, Chen M, et al. An oncopeptide regulates m6A recognition by the m6A reader IGF2BP1 and tumorigenesis. Nat Commun. 2020; 11: 1685.
- 151. Chen LL. The biogenesis and emerging roles of circular RNAs. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2016; 17: 205-11.
- 152. Li X, Yang L, Chen LL. The biogenesis, functions, and challenges of circular RNAs. Mol Cell. 2018; 71: 428-42.
- 153. Su M, Xiao Y, Ma J, Tang Y, Tian B, Zhang Y, et al. Circular RNAs in cancer: emerging functions in hallmarks, stemness, resistance and roles as potential biomarkers. Mol Cancer. 2019; 18: 90.
- 154. Zhou C, Molinie B, Daneshvar K, Pondick JV, Wang J, Van Wittenberghe N, et al. Genome-wide maps of m6A circRNAs identify widespread and cell-type-specific methylation patterns that are distinct from mRNAs. Cell Rep. 2017; 20: 2262-76.
- 155. Park OH, Ha H, Lee Y, Boo SH, Kwon DH, Song HK, et al. Endoribonucleolytic Cleavage of m6A-Containing RNAs by RNase P/MRP Complex. Mol Cell. 2019; 74: 494-507.
- 156. Chen RX, Chen X, Xia LP, Zhang JX, Pan ZZ, Ma XD, et al. N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine modification of circNSUN2 facilitates cytoplasmic export and stabilizes HMGA2 to promote colorectal liver metastasis. Nat Commun. 2019; 10: 4695.

- 157. Yang Y, Fan X, Mao M, Song X, Wu P, Zhang Y, et al. Extensive translation of circular RNAs driven by №-methyladenosine. Cell Res. 2017; 27: 626-41.
- Di Timoteo G, Dattilo D, Centron-Broco A, Colantoni A, Guarnacci M, Rossi F, et al. Modulation of circRNA metabolism by m<sup>6</sup>A modification. Cell Rep. 2020; 31: 107641.
- 159. Zhao J, Lee EE, Kim J, Yang R, Chamseddin B, Ni C, et al. Transforming activity of an oncoprotein-encoding circular RNA from human papillomavirus. Nat Commun. 2019; 10: 2300.
- 160. Tang C, Xie Y, Yu T, Liu N, Wang Z, Woolsey RJ, et al. m<sup>6</sup>A-dependent biogenesis of circular RNAs in male germ cells. Cell Res. 2020; 30: 211-28.
- 161. Chen YG, Chen R, Ahmad S, Verma R, Kasturi SP, Amaya L, et al. N6-methyladenosine modification controls circular RNA immunity. Mol Cell. 2019; 76: 96-109.
- 162. Su H, Wang G, Wu L, Ma X, Ying K, Zhang R. Transcriptome-wide map of m<sup>6</sup>A circRNAs identified in a rat model of hypoxia mediated pulmonary hypertension. BMC Genomics. 2020; 21: 39.
- 163. Huang R, Zhang Y, Bai Y, Han B, Ju M, Chen B, et al. N<sup>6</sup>-methyladenosine modification of fatty acid amide hydrolase messenger RNA in circular RNA STAG1-regulated astrocyte dysfunction and depressive-like behaviors. Biol psychiatry. 2020; 88: 392-404.
- 164. Wu Y, Sang M, Liu F, Zhang J, Li W, Li Z, et al. Epigenetic modulation combined with PD-1/PD-L1 blockade enhances immunotherapy based on MAGE-A11 antigen-specific CD8+T cells against esophageal carcinoma. Carcinogenesis. 2020; 41: 894-903.
- 165. Buyandelger B, Bar EE, Hung KS, Hung KS, Chen RM, Chiang YH, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibitor MPT0B291 suppresses Glioma Growth *in vitro* and *in vivo* partially through acetylation of p53. Int J Biol Sci. 2020; 16: 3184-99.
- 166. Wang C, Hamacher A, Petzsch P, Köhrer K, Niegisch G, Hoffmann MJ, et al. Combination of Decitabine and Entinostat Synergistically Inhibits Urothelial Bladder Cancer Cells via Activation of FoxO1. Cancers (Basel). 2020; 12: 337.
- 167. Blagitko-Dorfs N, Schlosser P, Greve G, Pfeifer D, Meier R, Baude A, et al. Combination treatment of acute myeloid leukemia cells with DNMT and HDAC inhibitors: predominant synergistic gene downregulation associated with gene body demethylation. Leukemia. 2019; 33: 945-56.
- 168. Su Y, Hopfinger NR, Nguyen TD, Pogash TJ, Santucci-Pereira J, Russo J. Epigenetic reprogramming of epithelial mesenchymal transition in triple negative breast cancer cells with DNA methyltransferase and histone deacetylase inhibitors. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2018; 37: 314.
- Huang Y, Yan J, Li Q, Li J, Gong S, Zhou H, et al. Meclofenamic acid selectively inhibits FTO demethylation of m<sup>6</sup>A over ALKBH5. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015; 43: 373-84.
- 170. Cui Q, Shi H, Ye P, Li L, Qu Q, Sun G, et al. m<sup>6</sup>A RNA Methylation Regulates the Self-Renewal and Tumorigenesis of Glioblastoma Stem Cells. Cell Rep. 2017; 18: 2622-34.
- 171. Su R, Dong L, Li C, Nachtergaele S, Wunderlich M, Qing Y, et al. R-2HG exhibits anti-tumor activity by targeting FTO/m6A/MYC/CEBPA signaling. Cell. 2018; 172: 90-105.e23.
- 172. Huff S, Tiwari SK, Gonzalez GM, Wang YS, Rana TM. m(6)A-RNA Demethylase FTO Inhibitors Impair Self-Renewal in Glioblastoma Stem Cells. ACS Chem Biol. 2021. doi: 10.1021/acschembio.0c00841. [Online ahead of print].
- 173. Huang Y, Su R, Sheng Y, Dong L, Dong Z, Xu H, et al. Small-Molecule Targeting of Oncogenic FTO Demethylase in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Cancer Cell. 2019; 35: 677-91.e10.
- 174. Yang S, Wei J, Cui YH, Park G, Shah P, Deng Y, et al. m<sup>6</sup>A mRNA demethylase FTO regulates melanoma tumorigenicity and response to anti-PD-1 blockade. Nat Commun. 2019; 10: 2782.
- 175. Li N, Kang Y, Wang L, Huff S, Tang R, Hui H, et al. ALKBH5 regulates anti-PD-1 therapy response by modulating lactate and suppressive immune cell accumulation in tumor microenvironment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020; 117: 20159-70.
- Bedi RK, Huang D, Eberle SA, Wiedmer L, Śledź P, Caflisch A. Small-molecule inhibitors of METTL3, the major human epitranscriptomic writer. ChemMedChem. 2020; 15: 744-8.