## Supplementary figures



Figure S1. Inhibition of KDM4B reduces cell growth in AR-positive PCa cells. (A-C) Cell proliferation of control (LKO) and KDM4B-knockdown (sh4B\#1 and sh4B\#2) LNCaP (A), C4-2B (B), and CWR22Rv1 (C) was determined by cell count at indicated time points. Data presented are means $\pm$ SD from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis of the differences between two groups was calculated by two-sided Student's $t$-test.


Figure S2. KDM4B is crucial for CRPC tumor growth. (A-B) C4-2B (A) or CWR22Rv1 (B) cells were transfected with a mock or KDM4B expression vector as indicated, followed by immunoblotting analysis. (C-D) C4-2B (C) or CWR22Rv1 (D) cells (LKO, sh4B\#1, sh4B\#2) were transfected with a mock or a specific shRNA-resistant KDM4B vector as indicated, followed by immunoblotting analysis. $\beta$-Actin was the internal control. (E-F) MTT cell proliferation assay of control (mock) and KDM4B overexpression (4B) in C4-2B (E) or CWR22Rv1 (F) at indicated time points. (G-H) MTT cell proliferation assay of KDM4B restoration in C4-2B (G) or CWR22Rv1 (H). Cells (LKO, sh4B\#1, or sh4B\#2) were transfected with an empty vector (mock), or a specific shRNA-resistant KDM4B vector (resi.). Cell proliferation was detected using MTT assay. Data are presented as means $\pm$ SD from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis of the differences between two groups was calculated by two-sided Student's $t$-test.

## A

| Metabolic pathways- |  | \% of hits | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 109 | 4.11 | 3.18E-02 |
| PPAR signaling pathway- | 12 | 0.45 | 1.07E-02 |
| Autoimmune thyroid disease- | 10 | 0.38 | 1.45E-02 |
| Glycerolipid metabolism- | 10 | 0.38 | 2.81E-02 |
| Graft-versus-host disease- | 7 | 0.26 | 3.43E-02 |
| 0 | $\begin{array}{lll}40 & 80 & 120\end{array}$ |  |  |



Figure S3. KDM4B regulates the expression of genes involved in metabolic pathways. (A) Topranking 3000 down-regulated genes $(\log 2$ (fold change) $<-1.25$ ) in KDM4B knockdown C4-2B cells as compared to the control LKO cells that obtained from microarray (GEO number: GSE147481) were analyzed by KEGG pathway using DAVID (https://david.ncifcrf.gov). (B) The genes in metabolic pathways $(\mathrm{n}=109)$ from $(\mathbf{A})$ were analyzed by KEGG pathway using DAVID.


Figure S4. Depletion of KDM4B alters the EACR and OCR in CWR22Rv1 cells. (A and C) The extracellular ECAR (A) and OCR (C) of KDM4B-knockdown (sh4B\#1 and sh4B\#2) and control (LKO) CWR22Rv1 cells were measured by seahorse analyzer. For ECAR, adherent cells were incubated at glucose-free and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$-free medium with subsequent injection of 10 mM glucose, $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ oligomycin
(Oligo), and 50 mM 2-DG. For OCR , adherent cells were incubated at $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$-free medium with subsequent injection of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ oligomycin (Oligo), $1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FCCP}$, and $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ rotenone/antimycin A (RA). (B) The glycolytic capacity was plotted from (A). (D-F) The contribution of associated parameters of (C) including basal respiration (D), ATP production (E), and maximal respiration (F). All data are presented as the mean $\pm$ S.D. and $p$ values were calculated by two-sided Student's $t$-test.
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Figure S5. Restoration of KDM4B alters the EACR and OCR in C4-2B cells. (A and C) The extracellular ECAR (A) and OCR (C) of C4-2B cells were measured by seahorse analyzer. Cells (LKO, sh4B\#1, and sh4B\#2) were transfected with empty vector (mock), KDM4B expression vector (4B), or specific shRNA-resistant KDM4B expression vector (resi.). For ECAR, adherent cells were incubated at
glucose-free and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$-free medium with subsequent injection of 10 mM glucose, $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ oligomycin (Oligo), and 50 mM 2-DG. For OCR, adherent cells were incubated at $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$-free medium with subsequent injection of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ oligomycin (Oligo), $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FCCP}$, and $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ rotenone/antimycin A (RA). (B) The glycolytic capacity was plotted from (A). (D-F) The contribution of associated parameters of (C) including basal respiration (D), ATP production (E), and maximal respiration (F). All data are presented as the mean $\pm$ S.D. and $p$ values were calculated by two-sided Student's $t$-test.
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Figure S6. Restoration of KDM4B alters the EACR and OCR in CWR22Rv1 cells. (A and C) The extracellular ECAR (A) and OCR (C) of CWR22Rv1 cells were measured by seahorse analyzer. Cells (LKO, sh4B\#1, and sh4B\#2) were transfected with empty vector (mock), KDM4B expression vector (4B), or specific shRNA-resistant KDM4B expression vector (resi.). For ECAR, adherent cells were
incubated at glucose-free and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$-free medium with subsequent injection of 10 mM glucose, $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ oligomycin (Oligo), and 50 mM 2 -DG. For OCR, adherent cells were incubated at $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$-free medium with subsequent injection of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ oligomycin (Oligo), $1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FCCP}$, and $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ rotenone/antimycin A (RA). (B) The glycolytic capacity was plotted from (A). (D-F) The contribution of associated parameters of (C) including basal respiration (D), ATP production (E), and maximal respiration (F). All data are presented as the mean $\pm$ S.D. and $p$ values were calculated by two-sided Student's $t$-test.


Figure S7. Inhibition of KDM4B downregulates the expression of glycolysis genes in the xenografts. (A-D) The relative mRNA expression levels of $K D M 4 B$ (A), LDHA (B), ENO1 (C), and PFK (D) in the LKO or KDM4B-knockdown (sh4B\#1) xenografts. All data are presented as the mean $\pm$ S.D. and $p$ values were calculated by two-sided Student's $t$-test.


Figure S8. RNAi silencing of c-Myc reduces the expression of LDHA, ENO1, and PFK. (A-B) C42B (A) and CWR22Rv1 (B) cells were transfected with scramble siRNA (siCTR) or c-Myc siRNA (siMYC) and cultured for 24 h , followed by qRT-PCR analysis for MYC, LDHA, ENO1, and PFK. All data are presented as the mean $\pm$ S.D. and $p$ values were calculated by two-sided Student's $t$-test.
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Figure S9. Depletion of KDM4B, but not KDM4A and KDM4C, reduces the expression of LDHA, ENO1, and PFK. (A-B) The relative mRNA levels of KDM4-knockdown C4-2B (A) and CWR22Rv1 (B) cells. Cells were infected with lentivirus carrying control pLKO. 1 (LKO), shKDM4A (sh4A\#1 or sh4A\#2), shKDM4B (sh4B\#1 or sh4B\#2), or shKDM4C (sh4C\#1 or sh4C\#2). Total RNA were prepared and subjected to qRT-PCR for $K D M 4 A, K D M 4 B, K D M 4 C, L D H A, E N O 1$, and $P F K$ expression. All data are presented as the mean $\pm$ S.D. and $p$ values were calculated by two-sided Student's $t$-test.
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Figure S10. KDM4B mediates the c-Myc-regulated EACR in CRPC cells. (A) Overexpression of cMyc in KDM4B knockdown CRPC cells. C4-2B or CWR22Rv1 cells (LKO, sh4B\#1, or sh4B\#2) were transfected with a control vector (mock), or a c-Myc expressing vector (c-Myc) as indicated, followed by immunoblotting analysis. $\beta$-Actin was the internal control. (B) MTT cell proliferation assay of LKO, sh4B\#1, and sh4B\#2 C4-2B (left panel) and CWR22Rv1 (right panel) that introduced with either control (mock) or c-Myc expressed (c-Myc) vectors at indicated time points. (C-D) The ECARs of C4-2B (C) and CWR22Rv1 (D) cells were measured by the Seahorse analyzer. Cells (LKO, sh4B\#1, and sh4B\#2) were transfected with empty vector (mock), or c-Myc expressing vector (c-Myc). Adherent cells were incubated at glucose-free and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$-free medium with subsequent injection of 10 mM glucose, $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ oligomycin (Oligo), and 50 mM 2-DG. The glycolytic capacity shown (right panel) was plotted from (C and D). All data are presented as the mean $\pm$ S.D. and $p$ values were calculated by two-sided Student's $t$ test.


Figure S11. KDM4B promotes LDHA transactivation activity in CRPC cells. (A) CWR22Rv1 cells (LKO, sh4B\#1, or sh4B\#2) were co-transfected with a $L D H A$ reporter construct and a $\beta$-galactosidase construct as an internal control, the activity was detected using Beta-Glo/One-Glo Assay System. (B-C) The LDHA promoter activity in KDM4B restored C4-2B (B) and CWR22Rv1 (C) cells. Cells (LKO, sh4B\#1, and sh4B\#2) were co-transfected with a $L D H A$ reporter construct, a $\beta$-galactosidase construct as an internal control, and plus one of the empty vector (mock), KDM4B expression vector (4B), or specific shRNA-resistant KDM4B expression vector (resi.). The activity was detected using Beta-Glo/One-Glo Assay System. All data are presented as the mean $\pm$ S.D. and $p$ values were calculated by two-sided Student's $t$-test.


Figure S12. KDM4B-H189A binds to the loci of LDHA, ENO1, and PFK and fails to demethylate H3K9me3. (A) Overexpression of an inactive KDM4B mutant increases the H3K9me3 signal in C4-2B. C4-2B cells were transfected with a wild-type (WT) or an inactive mutant H189A of KDM4B for 24 h , followed by immunoblotting using an antibody as indicated. $\beta$-Actin was the internal control. (B-D) Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis of KDM4B and H3K9me3 occupancy on the LDHA (B), ENO1 (C), and PFK (D) promoter loci. ChIP was performed with specific antibodies against KDM4B and H3K9me3 in WT or H189A. ChIP DNAs were analyzed by qPCR with primers that amplify specific genomic regions. Data were presented as the average of fold enrichment normalized to $\operatorname{IgG} \pm \mathrm{SD}$ from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was assessed by two-sided Student's $t$-test.
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Figure S13. The depletion of PDHA1 does not restore the proliferation suppressed by KDM4B knockdown in CWR22Rv1. (A) PDHA1 depletion in CWR22Rv1 is validated by qRT-PCR analysis. Control (LKO) and KDM4B-knockdown (sh4B\#1 and sh4B\#2) CWR22Rv1 cells transfected with scramble siRNA (siCTR) or PDHA1 siRNA (siPDHA1) were subjected to qRT-PCR analysis. Data are normalized to LKO-siCTR. (B) Cell proliferation of PDHA1-depleted CWR22Rv1. CWR22Rv1 (LKO, sh4B\#1, or sh4B\#2) was treated with scramble siRNA (siCTR) or PDHA1 siRNA (siPDHA1) and cultured for 72 h , followed by cell-number quantification. All data are presented as the mean $\pm$ S.D. p values were calculated by two-sided Student's $t$-test.

## Supplementary table

Table S1. Primer sequences used in this study

| Gene | Forward (5' to 3') | Reverse (5' to 3') |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| qRT-PCR |  |  |
| KDM4A | GCCGCTAGAAGTTTCAGTGAG | GCGTCCCTTGGACTTCTTATT |
| KDM4B | CTTCACGCAGTACAATATCC | CGTCGTCATCATACAAAGAG |
| KDM4C | ACGCGAGTATCTTTCCCCTC | CGCGCTGTGGTTAACTTAGG |
| MYC | AATGAAAAGGCCCCCAAGGTAGTTATCC | GTCGTTTCCGCAACAAGTCCTCTTC |
| GLUT1 | GATTGGCTCCTTCTCTGTGG | TCAAAGGACTTGCCCAGTTT |
| HK2 | GATTGTCCGTAACATTCTCATCGA | TGTCTTGAGCCGCTCTGAGAT |
| PFK | AGATGCGCACCAGCATCAACG | GAACCCGGCACATTGTTGGA |
| ALDOA | ATGCCCTACCAATATCCAGCA | GCTCCCAGTGGACTCATCTG |
| GAPDH | CATCATCCCTGCCTCTACT | TGCTTCACCACCTTCTTG |
| PGM1 | CCTTCGCGCTCGCTCTTCTG | TAACCAAGCGACCGATCTTTCCTG |
| ENO1 | GTGTGGCTCTAACCCTCTGG | TCTGTGACGTTCAGTTTCTTGC |
| PKM2 | GAACTTCTCTCATGGAACTCAT | GATCTCAGGTCCTTTAGTGTCTA |
| LDHA | AGCTGTTCCACTTAAGGCCC | TGGAACCAAAAGGAATCGGGA |
| PDK1 | GAGAGCCACTATGGAACACCA | GGAGGTCTCAACACGAGGT |
| PDK2 | TCGTATAGGCTTGCACCCTG | CCTCCCTTAACGGGTCTTGG |
| PDK3 | GTGAACCCAGGGATGCTTCA | GGACCACAGCATTCAGTCCT |
| IDH2 | GAAGGTGTGCGTGGAGAC | CCGTGGTGTTCAGGAAGT |
| KGDH | TTGGCTGGAAAACCCCAAAAG | TGTGCTTCTACCAGGGACTGT |
| SUCLG1 | GAGCAACGGCTTCTGTCATTT | TGCTTGACTCGTACCATGTCC |
| SDHA | TGGGAACAAGAGGGCATCTG | CCACCACTGCATCAAATTCATG |
| MDH1 | TTTGGATCACAACCGAGCTAAAG | ACATCTGGATACTGAGTCGAGG |
| GLS1 | GACATGGAACAGCGGGACTAT | TGTCCTTGGGGAAAGGGTTT |
| PCK1 | GATGCAGGGGTCCGGTTTATT | GAAGCCGTAGGTGTTGGAGA |
| PCK2 | GGCATTCGAGATTTTGTAGAG | CAGCAGTTATTGTACTTGGG |
| PDHA1 | GGGACGTCTGTTGAGAGAGC | TGTGTCCATGGTAGCGGTAA |
| 18S | AAACGGCTACCACATCCAAG | CCTCCAATGGATCCTCGTTA |
| ChIP |  |  |
| LHDA | AGCTGTTCCACTTAAGGCCC | CTACCGTACCGGGAATGCAC |
| ENO1 | GGAGATCTCGCCGGCTTTAC | AGCCCTTCCCCAATCATTACC |
| PFK | GGGGATGCTCAAGGTGCG | CTCTTCTCCCCGGTTCTCC |


| Table S1. Primer sequences used in this study (continued) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gene | Forward (5' to 3') | Reverse (5' to 3') |
| c-Myc expression vector construction |  |  |
| c-Myc- $\Delta$ N | CACAAGGATCCATGCCCCTCAACGTTAGCTTC | GTTGTCTCGAGTTACGAGGCGCAGAGCTTG |
| c-Myc- $\Delta \mathrm{C}$ | CACCGAACGGATCCCAAGACTCCAGCGCCTTC | GTGGGCTCGAGTTACGCACAAGAGT |
| LDHA-Luc construction |  |  |
| LDHA-Luc | AAAAAAGGTACCGAATCAGCAAGAATACAGG | AAAAAGCTAGCCTTCTGGAAAGCGGCT |

