Supporting Information

Tumor-penetrating Peptide Conjugated and Doxorubicin Loaded T₁-T₂ Dual Mode MRI Contrast Agents Nanoparticles for Tumor Theranostics

Lipeng Gao¹, Jing Yu¹, Yang Liu¹, Jinge Zhou¹, Lei Sun¹, Jing Wang¹, Jianzhong Zhu¹, Hui Peng², Weiyue Lu³, Lei Yu¹, Zhiqiang Yan^{1 \bowtie}, Yiting Wang^{1 \bowtie}

1. Institute of Biomedical Engineering and Technology, Shanghai Engineering Research Center of Molecular Therapeutics and New Drug Development, School of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China.

2. Key Laboratory of Polar Materials and Devices, Ministry of Education, School of Information Science and Technology, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China.

3. Department of Pharmaceutics, School of Pharmacy, Fudan University & Key Laboratory of Smart Drug Delivery, Fudan University, Ministry of Education, Shanghai 201203, China.

Corresponding authors: zqyan@sat.ecnu.edu.cn, ytwang@nbic.ecnu.edu.cn



Figure S1 The synthetic scheme of $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2@mSiO_2-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG-RGE NPs$. The red part is RGERPPR peptide, and the green is PEG chain.



Figure S2. The ¹H-NMR spectra (A) and FTIR spectra (B) of Mal-PEG-COOH and RGERPPR-PEG-COOH. The characteristic peak of maleimide group at 6.7 ppm can be found in ¹H-NMR spectrum of Mal-PEG-COOH, but disappears in that of RGERPPR-PEG-COOH; the intensity of both N-H at 3200-3600 cm⁻¹ and C=O band at 1658 cm⁻¹ significantly enhanced in the FTIR spectrum of RGERPPR-PEG-COOH compared with that of Mal-PEG-COOH.



PH 7.4 Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DOX-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG NPs
PH 6.5 Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DOX-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG NPs
PH 5.5 Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DOX-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG NPs

PH 7.4 Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DOX-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG-RGE NPs
→ pH 6.5 Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DOX-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG-RGE NPs
→ pH 5.5 Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DOX-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG-RGE NPs

Figure S3. DOX release profiles from NPs at pH 5.5, pH 6.5 and pH 7.4. DOX release from the two types of NPs was remarkably increased as the pH decreased.



Figure S4. The in vitro cytotoxicity of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DOX-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG-RGE NPs (A) and those without DOX loading (B).



Figure S5. The stability of NPs in normal saline (NS) solution.



CLSM of cellular Figure **S6.** The images uptake and flow cytometry for Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DiO-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG NPs (A), Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DiO-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG-RGE NPs (B) and EG00229 pre-treatment plus Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DiO-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG-RGE NPs (C). The numbers in flow cytometry images represent mean of fluorescence intensity and percentages of DiO-positive cells, respectively. The cellular uptake of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DiO-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG-RGE NPs by U87MG cells was significant increased compared with the other two groups.



Figure S7. In vivo fluorescent imaging of $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2@mSiO_2/DiR-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG$ NPs and $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2@mSiO_2/DiR-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG-RGE$ NPs. (A) Representative in vivo fluorescent images of U87MG tumor-bearing mice (n = 3) following i.v. administration of NPs at different time points. Color bar on the right side indicates the signal intensity of the fluorescence. (B) The pharmacokinetic profile of DiR in tumor tissue based on the semi-quantitative ROI analysis of in vivo fluorescent images.



Figure S8. The H&E staining slides of the main organs of U87MG tumor-bearing mice (n = 3) after the treatment with Saline (control), DOX, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DOX-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG NPs, and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DOX-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG-RGE NPs (40×). The main organs (including heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidney) of the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DOX-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG-RGE NPs group showed no obvious pathological abnormity compared with Saline groups.



Figure S9. The CLSM images of frozen the main organs of U87MG tumor-bearing mice (n = 3) followinginjectionofFe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DiO-(Gd-DTPA)-PEGNPsandFe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂/DiO-(Gd-DTPA)-PEG-RGE NPs.