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## Supplementary Figure



Figure S1. Determining the number of signatures operative in 120 OSCC genomes. Five signatures were extracted based on sustained reproducibility of signatures and low error for reconstructing the original catalogs.


Figure S2. Sequencing depth (A) and coverage (B) in 120 tumor-normal pairs of OSCC.


Figure S3. Number of somatic mutations in samples. Each dot represents the total somatic mutations in each sample; Student's t test was used to compare the difference between the two groups. Data represent the mean $\pm$ SD.



Figure S4. Distribution of protein-altering mutations in significantly mutated OSCC driver genes. Schematic diagram of domains organization of putative drivers generated by InterPro database including TAD (transactivation domain), DNA binding domain and TMD (tetramerization domain) in TP53 (P04637); 33 tandem Cadherin domains, 4 EGF (EGF-like domain and L (Laminin G domain) in FAT1; EPH_LDB (Ephrin receptor ligand binding domain), GFRCD (Growth factor receptor cysteine-rich domain), FN3 (Fibronectin type III domain), TM (transmembrane domain), PKD (protein kinase domain) and SAM (Sterile alpha motif domain) in EPHA2 (P29317); ANK (Ankyrin repeat-containing domain) in CDKN2A (P42771); 36 tandem EGFs, N (Notch domain), I (Notch, NOD domain), II (Notch, NODP domain) and 6 tandem ANK in NOTCH1 (P46531); 2 tandem DEDs (Death Effector Domains) and Caspaselike domain in CASP8 (Q14790); ABD (adaptor-binding domain), RBD (Ras-binding domain), $\mathrm{C} 2\left(\mathrm{Ca}^{+}\right.$-dependent membrane-targeting module), PIK (accessory domain) and Kinase domain
in PIK3CA (P42336); Small GTP-binding protein domain in HRAS (P01112); PKD (Protein Kinase domain) and NEMO (I-kappa-kinase NEMO binding domain) in CHUK (O15111); 2 SH2 (Src homology 2), SH3 (Src homology 3), PH (Pleckstrin homology), C2 and RasGAP (Ras GTPase-activating protein) in RASA1 (P20936); 3 tandem RRM (Eukaryotic RNA Recognition Motif) in ELAVL1 (Q15717); Each bar represents a somatic mutation in OSCC. Types of mutation are highlighted as: $(\bullet)$ missense, color black; ( $\bullet$ ) nonsense, color red; ( $\boldsymbol{\bullet}$ ) frameshift, color purple; $(\bullet)$ in-frame deletion, color green.



Figure S5. Validation of mutations via Sanger sequencing. (A) Sanger sequencing of tumors harboring ELAVL1 somatic mutations. (B) Sanger sequencing of tumors carrying CHUK somatic mutations. (C) Alignment of CHUK mutations (p.Q616X and W205X) from IGV (Intergative Genomics Viewer) are shown.


Figure S6. The effect of gene silencing was verified on the protein and mRNA level by immunoblotting (A) and RT-PCR (B), respectively. Cell migration (C) and invasion (D) of SCC9 were measured by using a modified Boyden chamber assay. Data presented are average values of relative responses $\pm$ S.D. from representative experiments ( $n=4$, Student's $t$ test; *, $p 0.05$, compared with controls). (E) Immunohistochemical staining of OSCC harboring CHUK mutations. Human OSCC specimens and normal counterparts were stained using specific antibodies against cyclin D1 and NF-кB p65. The right panels are quantitative analyses of staining intensities.


Figure S7. GISTIC analysis of focal copy-number alterations. Copy-number gains were shown in red and losses in blue. Significant regions (Q-value $<0.25$ ) were indicated by the cytoband location.


Figure S8. Association between gene alterations. Chi square contingency table test was performed and p-value was shown in the lower half as well as in blue color. The strength of association or exclusion among gene alteration events was shown in the upper half.


Figure S9. Distribution of actionable events per sample. Gene names marked in red and black are targets of FDA-approved agents and drugs screened in clinical trials, respectively. Data have been organized in decreasing order of the total number of targetable events in each patient.

## MAPK signaling pathway ( 65.83 \%)



Figure S10. Mutational spectrum of the MAPK pathway.

## P53/cell cycle pathway ( 44.16 \%)



Figure S11. Mutational spectrum of the p53/cell cycle pathway.

## PI3K-Akt signaling pathway (47.5 \%)



Figure S12. Mutational spectrum of the PI3K-Akt pathway.

## WNT signaling pathway ( $\mathbf{1 0 . 8} \%$ )



Figure S13. Mutational spectrum of the Wnt signaling pathway.


Figure S14. Distribution of actionable tumors at different anatomical sites targeted against different pathways. ${ }^{*} p<0.05, \chi 2$ test.



Figure S15. Circos plots of somatic SNVs, INDELs, copy-number variations (CNVs), and structural variations (SVs) in two OSCC genomes. The inner ring displays SVs: black lines for interchromosomal SVs. The second ring next to SVs is CNV: green dots for copy loss and red dots for copy gain. The third ring is INDELs, shown in gray. The fourth ring is SNVs, shown in purple. The outside ring is the chromosome ideogram. Genes in red shown on the outside of rings represent the genes detected both in our data and in cosmic database.

Table S1. Similarities between the signatures in this study and COSMIC dataset.

| OSCC | COSMIC (Alexandrov et al. ) | Cosine similarity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signature I | Signature 13 | 0.86 |
| Signature II | Signature 7 | 0.95 |
| Signature III | Signature 1 | 0.95 |
| Signature IV | Signature 6 | 0.90 |
| Signature V | Signature 5 | 0.89 |

Table S2. Primer sequence and TaqMan assays for Sanger sequencing and quantitative PCR

| Gene | Chromosome number | Primer name | product size | Sequence (5' to 3') |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASXL1 | 20 | ASXL-1F | 210 | AAAGTGGCTTGTGTGTCCCA |
| ASXL1 | 20 | ASXL-1R | 210 | CCCCATCCTTGTAGAGGGGA |
| ASXL1 | 20 | ASXL-2F | 670 | GACTCACACAGTCCCACCAG |
| ASXL1 | 20 | ASXL-2R | 670 | AGCTCTGGACATGGCAGTTC |
| CHRNB4 | 15 | CHRNB4-1F | 377 | CTTTATCCCCATTGCCCGGT |
| CHRNB4 | 15 | CHRNB4-1R | 377 | CATGTTTGTGTGCGTCCTGG |
| CHRNB4 | 15 | CHRNB4-2F | 461 | GGCTCTGCTCACCTCTGTTT |
| CHRNB4 | 15 | CHRNB4-2R | 461 | GCTCСTССTTCCTGGTGAAC |
| CHRNB4 | 15 | CHRNB4-3F | 335 | ACCCAGCTGAGCAGAGTCTA |
| CHRNB4 | 15 | CHRNB4-3R | 335 | GCTCСTСACAGCTCATCTCC |
| CHUK | 10 | CHUK-1F | 375 | GTCTGGACACCAAGCAAGGA |
| CHUK | 10 | CHUK-1R | 375 | CCTCAGCTGGGTTTTGGGAA |
| CHUK | 10 | CHUK-2F | 297 | TCCTGTGAGAGCCCTATCCT |
| CHUK | 10 | CHUK-2R | 297 | AAGGGCCATTTGCTTCCAGA |
| CHUK | 10 | CHUK-3F | 311 | GCATGCCCAAGTTCTCATCC |
| CHUK | 10 | CHUK-3R | 311 | TGGGAACACTGCAGTATCTGG |
| CHUK | 10 | CHUK-4F | 785 | GCAGGTGCTGTTCCTTCTCT |
| CHUK | 10 | CHUK-4R | 785 | CACCCAGCCAAGTCAACTCT |
| CHUK | 10 | CHUK-5F | 128 | AGAGTGGATTCCTGGCCTCT |
| CHUK | 10 | CHUK-5R | 128 | AAAACAGAGAACGATGGTGCC |
| ELAVL1 | 19 | ELAVL1-1F | 368 | TGGTCACAAAGCCAAACCCT |
| ELAVL1 | 19 | ELAVL1-1R | 368 | GACCCATGCAGGTGTCTCAA |
| ELAVL1 | 19 | ELAVL1-2F | 551 | TCTATTCTGTGGCTGTGCCG |
| ELAVL1 | 19 | ELAVL1-2R | 551 | TATCTTTGGGCGCCAACACT |
| ELAVL1 | 19 | ELAVL1-3F | 502 | CСTAGAGAACACССТССССА |
| ELAVL1 | 19 | ELAVL1-3R | 502 | AGAGGTAGCCACACACAAGC |
| ELAVL1 | 19 | ELAVL1-4F | 499 | GGACCCAATCAATCTGCCCA |
| ELAVL1 | 19 | ELAVL1-4R | 499 | GAAGACCACATGGCCGAAGA |
| EPHA2 | 1 | EPHA2-1F | 710 | TTCTGCCTCCTGAAGCACTG |
| EPHA2 | 1 | EPHA2-1R | 710 | СССТССТССССААТАССТGA |
| EPHA2 | 1 | EPHA2-2F | 1309 | AGAGGTAATGACCCCCGTGT |
| EPHA2 | 1 | EPHA2-2R | 1309 | GCCATCTGAAGAAGCACCCT |
| EPHA2 | 1 | EPHA2-3F | 459 | CAGGAGGAGTCAGTGCTGTG |
| EPHA2 | 1 | EPHA2-3R | 459 | TTGCAGCATGTGTGTGAAGC |
| EPHA2 | 1 | EPHA2-4F | 726 | ACCAGAACCTGGGAATGCAG |
| EPHA2 | 1 | EPHA2-4R | 726 | GTGGGACCTGATGCAGAACA |
| RPTN | 1 | RPTN-1F | 315 | CCCACATGGACCTTCCTGAC |
| RPTN | 1 | RPTN-1R | 315 | GTCAGGAAGGTCCATGTGGG |
| RPTN | 1 | RPTN-2F | 300 | TAGCCTGGCCACTGGTAGAT |
| RPTN | 1 | RPTN-2R | 300 | AACACAGACAGAGGCACGAG |
| TP53 | 17 | TP53-1F | 338 | GCATGTTGCTTTTGTACCGTCA |
| TP53 | 17 | TP53-1R | 338 | CTGGGACCCAATGAGATGGG |


| TP53 | 17 | TP53-2F | 1376 | GGGCTTTCTCCTGCTGCTTA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TP53 | 17 | TP53-2R | 1376 | TCTTTGAGGCATCACTGCCC |
| TP53 | 17 | TP53-3F | 590 | CTAGTGGGTTGCAGGAGGTG |
| TP53 | 17 | TP53-3R | 590 | TAAGCAGCAGGAGAAAGCCC |
| TP53 | 17 | TP53-4F | 851 | AGTGCTTGGGTTGTGGTGAA |
| TP53 | 17 | TP53-4R | 851 | AAGTCTCATGGAAGCCAGCC |
| CASP8 | 2 | CASP8-1F | 1068 | ACCACACTCTGGTCACAACC |
| CASP8 | 2 | CASP8-1R | 1068 | TCTCTAGGGTGGGGAGAAGC |
| CASP8 | 2 | CASP8-2F | 1560 | TCACCACACCAGCCTCTTTC |
| CASP8 | 2 | CASP8-2R | 1560 | GGCTGCTGCTTCTCTCTGAA |
| CASP8 | 2 | CASP8-3F | 820 | ATTGCCCTGCTTGCAGAATC |
| CASP8 | 2 | CASP8-3R | 820 | TATTACCCCCTCCACCCTCC |
| CASP8 | 2 | CASP8-4F | 1083 | CCTCAGCTGTTAGCCACGAA |
| CASP8 | 2 | CASP8-4R | 1083 | TGGCTGAGCAAAAGAATTGC |
| CASP8 | 2 | CASP8-5F | 716 | CTCTCCAGCTGTGGTCTGTG |
| CASP8 | 2 | CASP8-5R | 716 | CCACTCCCCTTGGACAGTTC |
| CASP8 | 2 | CASP8-6F | 736 | GCACAGCAGAGGAGACAGTT |
| CASP8 | 2 | CASP8-6R | 736 | GCTGGATTTTGTACGTGTCTGG |

Gene
Assay ID
ANO1
Hs02564912_cn
Hs06260438_cn

Table S3. Demographic characteristics and clinical features of OSCC patients

| Variables | OSCC ( $\mathrm{n}=120$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | $56.13 \pm 10.79$ |
| <40 | 8 (6.7\%) |
| 40-49 | 24 (20.0\%) |
| 50-59 | 43 (35.8 \%) |
| 60-69 | 31 (25.8\%) |
| $\geq 70$ | 14 (11.7 \%) |
| Smoking status |  |
| No | 13 (10.8\%) |
| Yes | 107 (89.2\%) |
| Drinking status |  |
| No | 60 (50.0\%) |
| Yes | 60 (50.0\%) |
| Betel nut chewing |  |
| No | 25 (20.8\%) |
| Yes | 95 (79.2\%) |
| Cancer location |  |
| Buccal mucosa | 48 (40.0\% ) |
| Tongue | 32 (26.7 \%) |
| Lip | 12 (10.0 \%) |
| Gingiva | 11 (9.2 \%) |
| Others | 17 (14.1 \%) |
| Stage |  |
| I | 31 (25.8\%) |
| II | 32 (26.7\%) |
| III | 11 (9.2\%) |
| IV | 46 (38.3\%) |
| Tumor T status |  |
| T1 | 39 (32.5\%) |
| T2 | 42 (35.0\%) |
| T3 | 5 (4.2\%) |
| T4 | 34 (28.3\%) |
| Lymph node status |  |
| N0 | 84 (70.0\%) |
| N1 | 12 (10.0\%) |
| N2 | 24 (20.0\%) |
| Metastasis |  |
| M0 | 120 (100\%) |
| M1 | 0 (0\%) |
| Cell differentiation |  |
| Well | 22 (18.3\%) |
| Moderately | 88 (73.4\%) |
| Poorly | 10 (8.3\%) |

Table S4. Statistics of various parameters pertaining to somatic mutations

| Statistic | Minimum | Maximum | Median | Mean $\pm$ SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total No. of mutations | 3 | 1146 | 129 | $160.72 \pm 14.67$ |
| Total no. of mutations, <br> excluding synonymous <br> mutations | 2 | 950 | 107 | $130.84 \pm 12.12$ |
| Ratio of non-synonymous to <br> synonymous mutations | 0 | 4.22 | 2.28 | $2.22 \pm 0.08$ |
| Mutation rate per Mb | 0.05 | 19.14 | 2.15 | $2.64 \pm 0.24$ |
| Mutation rate per Mb, <br> excluding synonymous <br> mutations | 0.03 | 15.86 | 1.79 | $2.18 \pm 0.2$ |

Table S5. Distributions of somatic mutations

| Categories | SNV | INDEL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UTR5;UTR3 | 1 | - |
| exonic;splicing | 1 | - |
| ncRNA_exonic;splicing | 1 | - |
| ncRNA_splicing | 3 | - |
| upstream;downstream | 9 | - |
| downstream | 30 | - |
| upstream | 62 | 4 |
| splicing | 162 | 9 |
| ncRNA_exonic | 238 | 10 |
| UTR5 | 248 | 14 |
| ncRNA_intronic | 270 | 19 |
| UTR3 | 285 | 11 |
| intergenic | 528 | $267(34 \%)$ |
| intronic | $4701(26 \%)$ | $453(58 \%)$ |
| exonic | $11232(63 \%)$ | - |
| Total |  |  |
|  |  | 1771 |

Table S6. Functional annotations of somatic mutations

| Categories | SNV | INDEL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| stoploss | 9 | - |
| unknown | 128 | 2 |
| stopgain | 551 | 15 |
| synonymousSNV | 3196 | - |
| nonsynonymousSNV | 7349 | 20 |
| nonframeshift Insertion | - | 70 |
| nonframeshift Deletion | - | 106 |
| frameshift Insertion | - | 240 |
| frameshift Deletion | - | 334 |
| others | 6538 | 787 |
| Total | 17771 |  |

Table S7. Genes frequently but not significantly mutated in OSCC

| Gene | MutSigCV <br> q value | intOGen <br> q vlaue | Chi-Square <br> q value | Patients <br> number <br> $(\%)$ | Gene size <br> (KB) | Protein size <br> $(\mathbf{A A )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TTN | 1 | ND | 0.779955677 | $34(28.33 \%)$ | 305 | 34350 |
| PCLO | 1 | 0.639027389 | 0.779955677 | $20(16.67 \%)$ | 409 | 5065 |
| CSMD3 | 1 | ND | 0.779955677 | $17(14.17 \%)$ | 1214 | 3707 |
| MUC16 | 1 | ND | 0.779955677 | $15(12.5 \%)$ | 132 | 22152 |
| MUC5B | 1 | ND | 0.779955677 | $13(10.83 \%)$ | 39 | 5762 |
| MUC4 | 1 | 1 | 0.779955677 | $11(9.17 \%)$ | 65 | 2169 |
| MLL3 | 1 | 1 | ND | $10(8.33 \%)$ | 302 | 4911 |
| USH2A | 1 | ND | 0.98522 | $10(8.33 \%)$ | 800 | 5202 |

## Supplementary Materials and Methods

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemical analyses were performed on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections using VECTASTAIN Universal Elite ABC Kit (Vector Labs). High pH Antigen Unmasking Solution (Vector Labs) was used to heat tissue sections for antigen retrieval and the Avidin/Biotin Blocking Kit (Vector Labs) was utilized to avoid nonspecific background before the first antibody hybridization. Primary antibodies against NF-кB p65 (sc-109, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and cyclin D1 (MA5-16356, Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used to assess protein expression on clinical specimens. Photographs were taken under a Nikon Eclipse Ti-U inverted microscope equipped with a CCD camera and NIS-Elements imaging software. Quantitative analysis was performed by the HistoFAXS software (TissueGnostics).

Cell culture and silencing of CHUK

SCC-9 cells were obtained from Bioresource Collection and Research Centre (BCRC), Taiwan. Cells that have been tested for mycoplasma contamination were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Gibco) supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS). Cells were transfected with 1.5 nM short interfering RNA (siRNA) directed to CHUK (s3077, Ambion) or a non-target control (Select Negative Control No. 1 siRNA) using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Immunoblotting

Cell extracts were prepared by lysis in RIPA buffer supplemented with 1 mM PMSF, $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ leupeptin, and $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ aprotinin. Samples were separated using SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (Millipore). Antibodies against the following proteins were used for detection: IKK alpha (ab54628, abcam) and beta-actin (ab8229, abcam).

## RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from SCC-9 cells by using REzol® C \& T as per manufacturer's instruction. Random hexamer was used for reverse transcription, and PCR was carried out by using primers listed below. CHUK-forward, 5’-TGAGGAACAGGGCAATAGTATGATG-3'; CHUK-reverse, 5’-GGTCTTACGCCCAAAAGTTAAAAGT-3'; GAPDH-forward, 5’- CGGAG 3'.

Migration and invasion assay

Migration and invasion assays were performed as described (1). In brief, after treatment with siRNA for 48 hr , cells were assayed in Boyden chambers with 6.5-mm diameter polycarbonate membrane filters containing 8 mm pore size (Neuro Probe) at $10^{4}$ cell/well in serum-free medium and incubated for 24 hr . For invasion assay, membrane filters were covered with 10 ul of Matrigel ( $25 \mathrm{mg} / 50 \mathrm{ml}$, BD Biosciences) and air-dried for 5 hr . Cell were allowed to invade into the bottom chamber containing DMEM-F12 medium with $10 \%$ FBS for 24 hr . Invading cells were fixed with $100 \%$ methanol and stained with $5 \%$ Giemsa and counted under a light microscope. Data were representative of three independent experiments.

Detection of somatic structural variants

Analysis of structural variants (SVs) was performed by CREST (2) using the default parameters. Candidate breakpoints in tumors that also appeared in the paired normal specimens were removed before the final SV detection step was performed. Candidate SVs were called by the following filtering criteria: 1) if both breakpoints fell within a repeat region, 2) if any of the breakpoints were located within <1kb of a known assembly gap region within the reference genome, 3) mean read depth of at least 10 reads across the event. The remaining set of somatic SVs was annotated with gene information.
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